SC NAACP v. Alexander, D.S.C. Case No. 3:21-cv-03302-MGL-TJH-RMG

## **EXHIBIT A**

```
Page 1
 1
       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH
                 CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION
 3
          Case No.: 3:21-cv-03302-JMC-TJH-RMG
 5
 6
     THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP,
 7
     And TAIWAN SCOTT, on behalf of himself and all
     other similarly situated persons,
 9
10
               Plaintiffs,
11
          V.
12
13
     HENRY D. MCMASTER, in his official capacity as
14
     Governor of South Carolina; HARVEY PEELER, in his
15
     official capacity as President of the Senate;
     LUKE A. RANKIN, in his official capacity as
16
     Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee; JAMES
17
     H. LUCAS, in his official capacity as Speaker of
18
19
     the House of Representatives; CHRIS MURPHY, in
20
     his official capacity as Chairman of the House of
     Representatives Judiciary Committee; WALLACE H.
21
22
     JORDAN, in his official capacity as Chairman of
     the House of Representatives Elections Law
23
24
     Subcommittee; HOWARD KNABB, in his official
25
     capacity as interim Executive Director of the
```

```
Page 2
     South Carolina State Election Commission; JOHN
 1
     WELLS, JOANNE DAY, CLIFFORD J. ELDER, LINDA
 3
     MCCALL, and SCOTT MOSELEY, in their official
     capacities as members of the South Carolina State
     Election Commission,
 5
 6
 7
               Defendants.
 8
 9
              Transcription of Video File:
         20220112HHouseofRepresentatives11637 1
10
                Date: January 12, 2022
11
12
                    Runtime: 3:25:42
13
              Transcription Begins: 40:00
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 3 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hand it to Jordan. 3 SPEAKER LUCAS: (Inaudible) hand it to 4 him. 5 REPRESENTATIVE KING: (Inaudible) 6 lawyer to my (inaudible). 7 SPEAKER LUCAS: You ready? CLERK REID: Yes, sir. Sorry about 8 9 that (inaudible). 10 SPEAKER LUCAS: No, it's all right. It's all right. 11 12 CLERK REID: (Inaudible). 13 SPEAKER LUCAS: (Inaudible) so, 14 (inaudible). Okay. Well, let me -- let me 15 see (inaudible). (Inaudible) make one. 16 Clerk will read. House will be in order, 17 you may want to hear this. 18 CLERK CROMER: House Resolution by the 19 Rules Committee, Committee Bill setting for 20 special order Senate 865. This is House 21 Resolution 4781. 22 SPEAKER LUCAS: Members, this is House Resolution 4081, setting for special order, 23 24 Senate Bill 865. I'm going to repres-- I'm 25 going to recognize Ms. Thayer to explain the

Page 4 1 resolution. Ms. Thayer is recognized. The House will come to order. REPRESENTATIVE THAYER: Thank you so 3 much, Mr. Speaker. As you know in the past, 4 5 when we've talked about redistricting, we've 6 come before you and we've set that for And we're here to do that 7 special order. today as well. Today of course, we'll be 8 9 discussing the congressional lines, once we 10 pass the special order, --11 CLERK CROMER: Thank --12 REPRESENTATIVE THAYER: -- if it 13 passes, then we will take it up immediately. 14 Thank you. 15 SPEAKER LUCAS: Thank you, Ms. Thayer. 16 Pending question -- pending question -- yes, 17 sir? 18 CLERK CROMER: Mr. Hill. 19 SPEAKER LUCAS: Yes sir, Mr. Hill? 20 REPRESENTATIVE HILL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering, I'm not seeing 21 22 this on our dashboard, and I don't have a copy of it, would it be possible to have 23 24 this read? 25 SPEAKER LUCAS: Is it -- is --? So.

Page 5 1 Mr. Hill, it's not a bill, it's a resolution. We don't have to read it; I'm going to read it. That H. 865, relating to 3 the Bill to establish districts from which 5 the members of the congressional districts 6 are elected, beginning with the 2022 general election, is set by special order for second 7 reading consideration on Wednesday, January 9 12th, 2022, immediately following adoption 10 of the special-order resolution. And to provide following the roll call on each 11 12 legislative day thereafter, for continuing 13 special order consideration until S. 865 is 14 given third reading or other disposition. 15 That's the resolution from the Rules 16 Committee. The pending question is the adoption of the resolution. All in favor 17 say, "aye." 18 19 ALL: Aye. 20 SPEAKER LUCAS: Opposed, no? The aye's 21 have it. All right, members if you would 22 turn to your calendar, we're on page two --23 the middle of page two, that's Senate Bill 24 865, relating to congressional

reapportionment. Mr. Jordan? Wait a minute

Page 6 1 -- hold --CLERK CROMER: The Amendment. SPEAKER LUCAS: All right, we have an 3 4 amendment on the desk, it's Amendment 1. 5 The Amendment is from the Judiciary 6 Committee. I'm going to recognize Mr. 7 Jordan to explain the Amendment. 8 CLERK REID: (Inaudible). 9 CLERK CROMER: Yes, sir. 10 SPEAKER LUCAS: Hold on a minute. (Inaudible) Amendment 1, it's a committee 11 12 amendment. Mr. Jordan is recognized to 13 explain the Amendment. House will be in 14 order. Members are going to want to hear 15 this. Mr. Jordan is recognized. 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As you all know, I've had the 17 18 privilege of chairing the Redistricting Ad 19 Hoc Committee. I want to recognize my 20 colleagues today, Representative Henegan, 21 Representative (Inaudible) are you pointing 22 at me, or are you --? 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 2: No. We can't 24 hear. 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 3: We can't hear

Page 1 back there. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Representative Henegan, Representative Bernstein, 3 Representative Newton, Representative 5 Elliott, Representative Bamberg, 6 Representative -- and Representative 7 Collins. I can tell you, members, that we've spent the last five months working on 9 the very important task of redistricting on 10 behalf of the House. I want to thank them for all their hard work over these past 11 several months, as well as our staff, and 12 13 other members who have assisted in this 14 process. 15 I am pleased to be here presenting the 16 final component of South Carolina's redistricting plans, for this, the United 17 States congressional districts. The Ad Hoc 18 19 Committee was responsible for providing this 20 body with a framework for redistricting 21 these seven congressional districts, 22 following a release of the 2020 census data. 23 Which we are here, of course, to take up 24 today, just as we did for the House

districts that were recently enacted.

Page 8 you all just recently went through a similar 1 process for the House districts, you are well aware that this is a very challenging, 3 time consuming, but also vitally important 5 issue for our state, so that we can or -- in 6 order to protect the one person, one vote ideal in South Carolina's seven United 7 States congressional districts. The same ideal that get -- that guided our 9 10 development of the 124 House districts. firmly believe that the plan that has been 11 12 advanced here today accords with that goal, 13 and other -- the other guidelines and 14 criteria that I will talk to you about this 15 afternoon. 16 Let me first provide the House membership with an update on the activities 17 of the Ad Hoc Committee, that ultimately led 18 19 to the -- to the development of this 20 proposed plan. For those members that are 21 like me and have not gone through prior 22 redistricting -- a prior redistricting 23 cycle, it is important to understand that 24 this process would have, of course, begun 25 much sooner, but largely due to the

Page 9 1 (inaudible) -- due to the disruption of the pandemic, the United States Census Bureau was delayed by several months in its release of the 2020 census data. Which of course 5 impacted the timing of redistricting here in South Carolina. While the census results are usually released in the spring, the Census Bureau 8 9 did not provide the public raw data in its 10 final format to the states until September 16th of 2021. We could not begin the 11 12 mechanics of actually examining the 13 population changes and drawing new districts 14 until we had that data. Now, although the 15 final data was delayed several months, the Ad Hoc Committee was formed, and we started 16 17 right on in the process in early August. As 18 you can see, as I listed out earlier, a bi-19 partisan membership was asked to work the 20 front lines of redistricting. My colleagues 21 represent constituents across the state. 22 Representative Henegan from District 54, 23 representing Chester, Darlington, and 24 Marlboro. Representative Collins, from 25 District 5, representing Pickens.

Page 10 1 Representative Elliott, from District 22, 2. representing Greenville. Representative Bamberg, from District 90, representing Bamberg, Barnwell, and Colleton. Representative Newton, from District 120, 6 representing Beaufort and Jasper. Representative Bernstein, from right here in Richland County, in District 78. And of 9 course, District 63, which I represent in 10 I think our process benefited Florence. greatly from the composition of this 11 12 committee, and each and every one of us put 13 in countless hours, and thousands of miles 14 in our work on redistricting. 15 Going back to August, the Ad Hoc Committee first met to adopt the 2021 16 redistricting criteria and guidelines that 17 18 would be used in the process, drawing both 19 the 124 House districts and the seven 20 congressional districts. Then in early 21 September, we undertook the task of 22 traveling throughout the state, to meet with 23 South Carolinians and hear from them 24 directly and in person, about what was

important to them and their communities, as

Page 11 1 we worked on the maps. These public hearing were held in 10 cities across the state, beginning in Myrtle Beach, then onto 3 Florence, Rockhill, Greenville, North 5 Charleston, Bluffton, Aiken, Greenwood, and Orangeburg. Finally, before coming to 6 Columbia and holding two hearings here in the Block Building, so that we can 9 accommodate virtual participation. We heard 10 from many individual citizens, as -- and also, a number of our colleagues who came to 11 12 speak, and the Committee very much 13 appreciated the active participation in the 14 public, as well as our colleagues. 15 The issue raised during these hearings 16 were important, in order to fully consider 17 both the House and the congressional 18 districts. And we took note and paid in--19 paid great attention to what South 20 Carolinians had to say. We also received 21 hundreds of pages of written testimony from 22 the public on both staff plans, as well as 23 the plans submitted by the public. Our 24 staff, let me tell you, they did a

phenomenal job. They worked hard to keep

Page 12 1 the House website updated with all the information activities that related to redistricting. And I commend them for their hard work and commitment to the process. With all the testimony, written 6 submissions, maps from the public, it was 7 then our primary task to account for the population changes in South Carolina since 9 2010 and address the ways in which our 10 current House and congressional districts no longer accorded with the necessary, 11 constitutional and legal principles. 12 13 census data revealed that while South 14 Carolina continues to grow and attract new 15 residents, the growth is not consistent 16 across the state, and there were significant movements in population densities in that 17 18 last ten years. For example, the suburbs of 19 Charlotte are pushing South, and spilling 20 into Northern York and Lancaster Counties, 21 and there has been tremendous growth in that 22 As with the coastal areas, such as Myrtle Beach and Charleston, also tremendous 23 24 growth. However, we learned that there were 25 areas of our state that lost significant

Page 13 1 amounts of population. These movements 2. impacted a number of districts, which meant we had work to do to bring House and congressional districts into conformance with our principles of equality. 6 Now, let me speak for a moment on these 7 principles. I've mentioned a couple times, I'm sure you all know, that when it comes to 9 the task of redistricting there are in fact 10 overriding constitutional mandates that quide our work. The equal population clause 11 12 of the United States Constitution, which 13 requires apportionment of representatives on 14 a population basis, and the 14th Amendment's 15 equal protection clause, which requires that 16 we make an honest and good faith effort to construct legislative districts as nearly of 17 18 equal population as is practicable. 19 redistricting of congressional districts, 20 however, do not allow for the small 21 deviations as the legislative districts, and 22 every effort must be made to achieve strict

equality of population. The congressional

one person from that ideal.

districts proposed are within a deviation of

23

24

Page 14 1 I will tell you, it is extremely difficult to balance districts with hundreds of thousands of people within one person of 3 that ideal, using census blocks that are 5 determined by the Census Bureau. And I 6 remind you that that's a determination beyond our control. In addition to those Federal Constitutional mandates, our South 9 Carolina Constitution mandates free and 10 equal elections. And the Voting Rights Act prohibits voting practices that discriminate 11 12 on the basis of race, color, or membership 13 in a language minority group. With these 14 federal and state principles in mind, and 15 prioritized in our process, the 2021 16 redistricting guidelines and criteria also incorporated the redistricting principles 17 18 that you heard me mention before. 19 Compactness, contiguity, respect--20 respecting of communities of interest, as well as incumbency considerations. 21 22 Our 2021 guidelines were modeled from 23 the 2011 guidelines, and took into 24 consideration important court decisions, such as the Federal District Court in 25

Page 15 Colleton County Council v. McConnell. 1 worked on these maps, we strove to maintain county boundaries when possible, and I will 3 tell you particularly true of smaller 5 counties. We also tried to minimize splits 6 in precincts such as in cities and voting precincts. I realize, it may seem easy to improve lines and divisions when focused on 9 one area or region, but it has been our job, 10 and is not this body's job, to consider the entire state. And when an improvement of --11 on point A leads to disruptions of point B 12 13 through G, I would argue that's not really 14 an improvement, or certainly not one that we 15 can adopt. 16 So, based on the 2020 census results, the ideal population for each of the 17 congressional districts is 731,204. 18 population changes I discussed meant that 19 20 Congressional District 1 was nearly 12% 21 above the ideal population, and 22 Congressional District 6 was more than 11.5% 23 under the ideal population. The remaining 24 congressional districts were within four 25 percent of the ideal population.

Page 16 1 allowed us to use the same general district 2. boundaries as ten years ago, with some adjustments to account for those population 3 shifts I referenced earlier. This mainly 5 occurred in District 1 and District 6, which 6 had the most significant population changes, but could be nearly balanced between each 7 other. 9 While I think it's self-evident, let me explain for the record that the Ad Hoc 10 Committee, and the Judiciary Committee chose 11 to focus on and pursue a plan for the House 12 13 districts before turning to the 14 congressional districts. We certainly 15 solicited and collected public input on both maps throughout the process, but in terms of 16 our time and attention in drawing new maps, 17 18 we worked on and developed the House plan, 19 before picking up the task for Congress. 20 To provide further background specific 21 to the congressional maps, I want to explain 22 the timeline the Ad Hoc Committee -- of the 23 Ad Hoc Committee, and the Judiciary 24 Committee, that has brought us to this 25 point. The House plan was approved by the

Page 17 1 House on December 6th, 2021. The map recommended by the Ad Hoc Committee underwent several amendments before the final version was adopted. Following a 5 proposed amendment by the Senate to include 6 the Senate's plan for its legislative districts, we concurred on December 9th, and H. 4493 was sent to Governor McMaster. On 9 December 13th, the Ad Hoc Committee posted 10 an initial staff draft plan --SPEAKER LUCAS: Mr. Jordan, let me 11 12 interrupt you just a minute. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir. SPEAKER LUCAS: House will be in order. 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 4: Thank you, Mr. 16 Speaker. SPEAKER LUCAS: House will be in order 17 and continue to be in order. Mr. Jordan? 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 4: Thank you, Mr. 20 Speaker. 21 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. 22 Speaker. On December 13th, the Ad Hoc 23 Committee posted an initial staff draft plan 24 for the congressional districts, as well as

the Senate's staff plan, as a point of

Page 18 1 comparison, in order that we could receive 2. input on a congressional plan. The Senate had previously released its staff plan in 3 November, and it's Redistricting Committee 5 held a hearing to receive public comments 6 just after Thanksgiving. The initial staff plan posted by the Ad Hoc Committee on December 13th, presented a fairly 8 9 significant change to the landscape of South 10 Carolina's congressional districts. the Senate's plan, more closely resembled 11 12 the congressional districts that were 13 enacted ten years ago. On December 16th, the Ad Hoc Committee 14 15 held a hearing to receive feedback and take 16 public testimony, regarding the initial staff plan, as well as the Senate's staff 17 plan. We did not take any formal action at 18 19 that meeting, and instead allowed time for 20 further input from the public and interested parties. From there, the Committee receive-21 22 - ended up receiving hundreds of pages of written testimony concerned about, and 23 24 vocally objecting to, the proposed movement 25 of Beaufort County back into District 2,

Page 19 1 after having been in District 1 for the past 2. ten years. I'm not talking about a few emails are a couple letters, it was sub-- a substantial -- I was a high volume of testimony to that affect. My understanding 6 is Representative Newton would personally attest to a significant volume of emails, and concerns he received as a representative 9 of Beaufort County. The sheer volume of 10 comments was vastly -- excuse me, the sheer volume of comments vastly outnumbered those 11 received from any other region of the 12 13 proposed map. 14 On December 22nd, the Ad Hoc Committee 15 posted a second plan, which we have all 16 called, "Staff Alternative Plan 1," which sought to address the comments and concern 17 18 raised to us, such as in Beaufort County. 19 And also, more closely aligns with the 20 Senate's original staff draft plan, and as a result of the configuration of the 21 22 congressional districts as approved by the 23 2011 plan. 24 Again, I think it's important to take 25 into consideration that unlike our House map

Page 20 1 -- House map, the congressional map is the one that we must undertake together with our colleagues in the Senate. We do not have total autonomy over this map, and without agreement we would have been unable to adopt 6 a congressional plan. That said, we believe altern-- our alternative plan makes several improvements to the Senate's original draft 9 plan, including unifying Calhoun, 10 Orangeburg, and Jasper Counties. On to December 29th, the Ad Hoc 11 12 Committee hold a second hearing, dedicated 13 to the congressional plans, in order to receive further public input on both plans 14 15 that had been put forward by the Committee. 16 Again, -- again, at this hearing we took no action, and continued to receive written 17 18 testimony through January the 9th of '22. 19 The submissions were received, and again, a 20 significant amount of feedback from the residents of Beaufort, this time supporting 21 22 the Second, or Alternative Plan, which 23 retained Beaufort County in District 1. 24 On Monday, January 10th, the Ad Hoc 25 Committee convened for a third time on the

Page 21 1 plan for congressional districts, and voted to amend S. 865 with what is called, "the Staff Alternative Plan 1," and gave it a favorable report to Ju-- to Judiciary on 5 House 4492. From there, the Judiciary 6 Committee voted in favor of advancing 4492 7 to this body, and we are here today. Unlike the House plan, we did not 9 receive any proposed amendments, and I am 10 not aware of any members requesting amendments to either of the proposed 11 12 congressional maps between December 13th and 13 today. There was certainly good discussion 14 within the committees earlier this week. 15 And hearing from my colleagues and taking to 16 heart their concerns, I still firmly believe 17 that the plan presented here is the 18 necessary next step to achieve the 19 fundamental, constitutional goal -- thank 20 you --21 CLERK CROMER: Uh-huh. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- of equality 23 in voting, and in a -- and is in accordance 24 with the guidelines and criteria adopted for 25 this redistricting cycle, and complies with

Page 22 1 the United States Constitution, the South Carolina Constitution, as well as federal and state law. We worked to incorporate concerns from 5 citizens and communities across South 6 Carolina and tried to account for 7 communities of interest. The proposed congressional districts generally adhere to 8 9 the previous boundaries that were 10 sufficiently contiguous and compact, to be approved by the Department of Justice and 11 past judicial scrutiny in 2011. I would 12 13 also argue that we made important 14 improvements by unifying counties, such as 15 Newberry and Orangeburg, from that 2011 16 plan. Our proposed congressional plan keeps all but 10 counties and seven voting 17 18 precincts whole, which are all improvements 19 over 2000-- over the 2011 plan. 20 The plan before you also provides, or improves, the compactness of District 2 21 22 versus what was first proposed in the 23 initial staff plan. It keeps the coastal 24 communities of Hilton Head and Charleston 25 together, which encompasses many shared

Page 23 1 social, economic, and environmental interests. This is evidence by the public input. Further, this plan reduces the numbers -- the number of points into 5 Charleston County from District 6. 6 Also, let me Address some of the 7 questions posed by the Committee members earlier this week. We heard concerns to the 8 9 effect that the second map posted was a replacement, and somehow took the place of 10 11 the first map. The two maps were at all 12 times on the same footing. We simply drew a 13 second map, that offered an alternative 14 version of redistricting for the 15 congressional districts. Both of these maps were to be considered, and in my view, were 16 considered by the public, by our members, 17 18 and ultimately, by the Ad Hoc Committee. 19 Unlike the House District plan, we did not 20 receive any amendments or proposed 21 alternative maps from House members. 22 Hoc Committee convened three times, and 23 Staff Alternative Plan 1 was the -- was the

one that was offered for vote, and was

passed by the Ad Hoc Committee, and later

24

Page 24 1 the Judiciary Committee. 2 Regarding the process concerns, both of the maps posted by the Ad Hoc Committee 3 followed the same process. We sought and 5 considered public input into the 6 congressional district plans. We were 7 quided by the same procedural and substantiative rules, and were deliberative 9 and allowing time for a receipt, as well as 10 consumption of the proposals before our third and final meeting. The Committee was 11 12 ready and available to hear concerns from 13 any and every interested person, and the 14 fact of the matter is that we received 15 hundreds of pages of written submissions 16 regarding the movement of Beaufort County 17 into District 1. We did not make special 18 accommodations for Beaufort County, but we 19 certainly were attentive two the large-scale 20 public response, and took it into 21 consideration along with the original option 22 that had been presented by the Senate in 23 mid-December. The Alternative Plan 1 was an 24 option that bridged the Senate's draft plan,

but still made several improvements over

 $_{
m Page~25}$  both the Senate and the 2011 plans, as I

- 2 noted earlier, by unifying several counties
- 3 and voting precincts.

- 4 Let me also say in response to the
- 5 criticism about the racial makeup of this
- 6 plan. I heard concerns, and still believe,
- 7 that the statistics refute the criticisms.
- 8 These are difficult and important issues we
- 9 face, but let me be clear, our proposed map,
- 10 and our entire process, was not motivated or
- 11 dominated by any discriminatory intent. We
- 12 face undeniably -- we face an undeniably
- 13 political process with an overriding goal of
- 14 equality in voting rights. The
- 15 Congressional District Plan does not, I
- 16 repeat, does not pack District 6 with
- 17 minority voters, as we heard from this week.
- 18 The data shows that the percentage of black
- 19 voting age population it's actually 8% less
- 20 than it was 10 years ago in District 6. We
- 21 have been widely criticized by some public
- interest groups, and yet the congressional
- 23 maps submitted by those groups do not offer
- 24 superior alternatives, including for
- 25 District 6.

Page 26 1 We, as representatives, do not have control over who chooses to make South Carolina their home, and where that home is 3 made. Our state is compromised [sic] of roughly 25% black voting age population --6 of a population total across the state. This proposed plan provides three congressional districts that are roughly 25% 8 9 black voting age population, which is 10 reflective of the overall percentage of black voting age population across the 11 12 state. The allegations of discrimination 13 and harm, that I heard earlier this week, 14 are simply not supported by either the facts 15 or the data. 16 I appreciate having had the opportunity 17 to chair this important Ad Hoc Committee. It was a -- I can say -- I've said many 18 19 times, it is truly a learning experience 20 like no other. I believe the plan before you adheres to the Ad Hoc Committee's 21 criteria, to the guidelines, to the one 22 person one vote principle, and I encourage 23 24 you each to vote in favor of it. Thank you

25

so much for your time.

Page 27 1 SPEAKER LUCAS: Will you take 2. questions, Mr. Jordan? REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir. 3 SPEAKER LUCAS: Mr. King is recognized 5 for a question. Mr. Pope? 6 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Thank you, Mr. 7 Speaker. I have a question in reference to the makeup of the Committee. You mentioned 9 that there were members from each 10 Congressional District, am I correct? 11 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, initially, 12 there was a member from each congressional 13 committee. Representative Brandon Newton, 14 who was originally on the Committee -- I 15 don't think he would mind me saying -- he was blessed with a -- the birth of his first 16 17 child. And that process came along about the time, and it simply had -- he simply had 18 to withdraw from his service on the 19 20 Committee as a result of that obligation. 21 Certainly, him driving across the state over 22 a period of weeks, while he had a new baby on the way or at home, I can tell you --23 24 REPRESENTATIVE KING: So, -- so, --25 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN:

```
Page 28
 1
          REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- my question, -
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- look, just -
 3
     - I'm --
 5
          REPRESENTATIVE KING: Yes.
 6
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- about to
 7
     wrap it up.
 8
          REPRESENTATIVE KING: No, no, no
 9
     (inaudible). No, you're fine.
10
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: He was unable
     to be a part of the meet-- part of the team,
11
12
     and therefore we had all but one district
13
     represented as a result --
14
          REPRESENTATIVE KING: So, do you know -
15
16
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- (inaudible)
    withdraw.
17
18
          REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- who actually
19
     looked at Congressional District 5, that was
20
     from our area? Or who -- or any of my
21
     delegation members, or -- when I say
22
     delegation, from Congressional District 5,
23
     that you all spoke to in the -- in concern
24
     of how we wanted to see the makeup of our
25
     Congressional District? That's one
```

Page 29 1 question. Mr. Chair, as --REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: (Inaudible). REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- the chair of 3 the Committee, did you know that there were 4 5 other members who were on Judiciary that are 6 from Congressional District 5? And then, 7 when Mr. Newton was unable to be a part of the Committee, that you all could have 9 appointed to have that voice? So, there was a -- there was a void from Congressional 10 District 5, did you know that? 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I disagree that there was a void. I will tell you that --13 14 and this is absolutely no criticism of 15 Representative Newton, having three children 16 myself, I -- he absolutely made the right decision to be where he needed to be. But 17 18 I'll tell you this, this was truly a team --19 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Mr. Chairman, --20 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- let --21 REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- I -- with all 22 due respect --23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- if you don't 24 mind, I would like to finish the question. 25 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Okay, no problem.

Page 30 Finish -- and I 1 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 2 -- certainly, we -- I'll stay as long as you want. But I would -- I would argue that it 3 really was a team effort in this process. 5 Looking at the congressional districts, we 6 didn't divide up the congressional areas and say, "you work on this one from --" because, you know, Representative Henegan and I 9 didn't go work on District 7 by ourselves, 10 necessarily. We -- it was a team effort that we all participated in the process, 11 12 because at the end of the day, the entire 13 Committee had to vote on the overall map. 14 We didn't go district by district, approving 15 this one and that one, we had to unify the 16 map, because it does all have to connect up. As I said, when you're trying to get every 17 18 single district to be equal pop-- equally 19 populated, as required by the law, it takes 20 a team atmosphere and effort. 21 And of course, I would also say, 22 Representative King, as you heard me list --23 and I think you attended the meeting in your 24 area, if I'm correct -- remembering 25 correctly in Rockhill. There were -- just

Page 31 1 because you weren't on the Committee, there were ample opportunities for everyone in this room, as well as constituents and 3 members -- and the population of South 5 Carolina to participate. 6 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Well, granted I 7 understand what you're saying, but when it came down to a voice from Congressional 8 9 District 5, there was a void. And the 10 reason why I say there was a void is because we did not have one vote on that committee, 11 12 when you all submitted it from subcommittee 13 to full committee, which means there was a 14 void of our voice and our vote in the map. 15 The way it was drawn, (inaudible) it was the 16 House map, or the congressional map. And we had ample opportunity, because Mr. Newton 17 informed you all early on that he was unable 18 19 to participate. Did you know Mr. Jordan, 20 that I am the senior member of Judiciary, as well as I'm the -- one of, probably, the 21 only members that have gone through 22 redistricting? Did you know that? 23 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I knew -- I 25 certainly know you are on Judiciary, having

Page 32 1 sat near you for a number of -- number of 2 years. REPRESENTATIVE KING: Well, I will say, and I've said publicly, that I believe 5 Congressional District 5 did not have a 6 voice, in reference to a vote, on the 7 Committee. And as my colleague from my Congressional District had a very, very 8 9 important obligation, I believe it was 10 prudent on this body to have appointed someone, from Congressional District 5, to 11 12 be on that committee. Also, did you know 13 that Congressional District 5, the way it is 14 drawn, may not be what we want in our 15 congressional area? No one that I know of 16 spoke with me. I'm not sure if you spoke to my other delegation members from the 17 Congressional District, but I -- me, being 18 19 one of the only African Americans in that 20 Congressional District, me and Annie 21 McDaniel, I'm pretty sure you did not speak 22 with her either. So, I -- I would say that, 23 you know, --24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: May I -- may I 25 respond --

Page 33 1 REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- the input --REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- to that? 3 REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- the input from the Congressional District, and especially 4 5 the minorities in the Congressional 6 District, were not heard. Did you know 7 that? REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Mr. King, what 9 I would say is this was a process that we went to great lengths to make as transparent 10 as possible. I evidenced that by the fact 11 that we traveled all over the state, the 12 13 fact any member of this body had the 14 opportunity to create an amendment, if they 15 didn't like the version that was put before. 16 And as I said before, to my knowledge, I 17 don't think a single amendment was actually put forward to say, "if this doesn't work 18 19 let's try something else." That's the 20 process that we go through, you know, this 21 process is not unique. Just because we're 22 drawing a map, doesn't mean it's not subject 23 to the amendment process. And I would say, 24 if there were concerns, there was a process 25 to deal with those concerns. Whether it was

Page 34 1 presenting before the Ad Hoc Committee, if you were on Judiciary, bringing those concerns -- which I know you did bring some concerns to the Committee -- but an 5 amendment opportunity was available there, 6 as is -- as is -- has been to this time. REPRESENTATIVE KING: Well, I appreciate you taking my questions. And I 8 9 hope that, not only colleagues within this 10 room, but the folk that are listening to us, understand that I don't believe that this 11 process was done fair for the people for 12 13 Congressional District 5. We did not have a 14 voice or a vote on that committee. And so, 15 I'm -- I stand up for my Congressional 16 District, and the voice that we lacked on that committee. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 SPEAKER LUCAS: Ms. -- Ms. Cobb-Hunter 19 is recognized. 20 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you. 21 Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Jordan, thank 22 you for your work, thank you for your 23 committee's work. Just got a couple of 24 questions, if I may, regarding the 25 congressional map. And I don't know quite

Page 35 what Mr. Hill was saying, but did you know 1 how difficult it is for us to follow what you've described when we don't have anything up there to look at? REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, I can 6 certainly understand that I just walked you 7 through essentially more than five months, or approximately five months' worth of 8 9 process and material. 10 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh. 11 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, I can 12 certainly understand that unless you were 13 paying incredibly close attention, it would 14 be difficult to digest it all. And 15 certainly, I can answer -- or attempt to 16 answer, any question you have to anything that I've explained over these last 20 17 minutes or so. And if --18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 5: It's also on 19 20 the redistricting website. 21 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- and I 22 believe it's also available on the website, 23 and if you have a copy. 24 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Well, it 25 would have been nice to have it up there, so

Page 36 that we could reference it. I appreciate 1 your staff bringing me a copy now, but that's --3 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, ma'am. 5 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: -- neither 6 here nor there, quite frankly, after the 7 fact. My question, specifically, regards to the 6th Congressional District. I heard you 9 mention something about Orangeburg and some 10 other county. My specific questions -- and I appreciate the fact that you have a very 11 comprehensive understanding of this, unlike 12 13 most of us who are sitting here listening to 14 you. What I would like to know whether or 15 not Charleston and Beaufort Counties are 16 made whole, and what is before us? Are 17 those two counties split? 18 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, Charleston 19 is split, just like it was split in the 20 previous plan, of which we lived off of the 21 last ten years. 22 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: And let me -- before you go further, when you say, 23 24 "just like it was in the previous plan," 25 does that mean that the numbers are the same

Page 37 1 percentage wise? That there's an even distribution of voters of color in the 1st and the 6th Congressional District? 3 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: No, ma'am. I'm 5 speaking in terms of --6 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Could you 7 speak int the mic a little bit? I'm --8 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 9 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: -- having 10 trouble hearing you. 11 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I'm speaking in 12 terms of no part of --13 SPEAKER POPE: Sergeant, if you could 14 help us, folks I know this is not a lot of -15 - but there is a low buzz here. Ms. Cobb-Hunter's having difficulty hearing, I think 16 Mr. Jordan's trying to explain, this is very 17 important for all of us. If you have 18 19 conversations, please take them out back. 20 Sergeant, if you would help us, we would 21 appreciate it. 22 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you, 23 Mr. Speaker. 24 SPEAKER POPE: Thank you. 25 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I'm not

Page 38 addressing any specific in that answer 1 statistical evaluation. I'm -- what I'm saying is, like the previous map that we lived under for 10 years, no part -- or 5 Beaufort County was not split, and 6 Charleston in some way, shape, or form was 7 split, meaning not whole. And that same --REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Got it. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- concept is 10 true today. REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: And I'm 11 12 asking about the concept, and the concept of 13 splitting. And perhaps, one of your staff 14 members has heard my question and has now 15 found the answer, and could share that with 16 you? Because what I am asking is whether or 17 not the split in Charleston County is maybe 18 not as equal in number, but is it relatively 19 speaking, the same degree of split that was 20 there before between the 1st Congressional 21 District and the 6th Congressional District? 22 And let me -- let me do it this way, you may 23 be --24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 6: (Inaudible) 25 map (inaudible).

Page 39 1 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Go ahead? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 7: (Inaudible). REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Here's 3 what I -- let me ask it this way, Mr. 5 Jordan. There are some who maintain that what the Committee did to the 6th Congressional District is to crack and pack, in order to make the 1st Congressional District more republican-leaning and less 10 competitive. And my question for you, is whether or not the plan that is before us, 11 whether or not that plan, indeed, cracks 12 13 populations of color in Charleston County, 14 pack all of them into the 6th Congressional 15 District? As opposed to being in the 1st Congressional District, thereby -- in the 16 17 opinion of some -- rendering the 1st 18 Congressional District less competitive? 19 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 20 specifically, I would say I do not believe 21 that's the case. And I would point you to 22 the data. So, if you look at District 6, --23 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh. 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- in the -- in 25 the 2011 draw, I can tell you --

Page 40 1 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: (Inaudible) I'm sorry, could they also -they brought me this little -- nice little 3 colored map which means nothing without the 5 Is there data that they have that 6 they could share, that explain -- and I'm only interested, staff, in the 1st and the 6th? REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Certainly. 10 It's -- we -- so, I can give you some of the data that --11 12 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Okay. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- I have in my 14 compilation of notes here. So, in 2011, the 15 black voting age population in District 6 16 was 55%. 17 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh. 18 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: In the version 19 that you have before you today, the South --20 the House Alternate Plan 1, it's 47.57%. 21 So, it's actually less. 22 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: In the --23 in which district, the 6th? 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: That's the 6th 25 Congressional District.

```
Page 41
 1
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh.
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, I think
     that's evidence directly answering that --
 3
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: And tell
 5
     me --
 6
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- question.
 7
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: -- tell me
     what the numbers are, if you don't mind, in
 8
 9
     the first? What's the BVAP in the first?
     What was it before, and what is it under
10
11
     this current plan?
12
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, it was
13
     18.58 in '11 and its 15.67 in the new
14
     version. Now, again, this is -- as I've
15
     talked about many times -- you know, trying
16
     to be exact with something that is
     incredibly difficult to be exact with.
17
18
     Trying to make those population numbers land
19
     just where they need to land. And oh, by
20
     the way, as I said, when you -- when you
     make A and B, right? Sometimes you fix --
21
22
     you mess up, you know, C, D, E, F. So, I'm
23
     giving you those things to help you
24
     articulate, kind of, that balancing aspect,
25
```

```
Page 42
 1
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER:
                                        Yeah.
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- if I would
 3
     say.
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: And again,
 5
     I'm looking at this, and I'm not privy to
 6
     the detail, but it kind of looks to me that
 7
     your point about con-- contiguity and
     compactness doesn't apply across the board
 8
 9
     in these two. I'm looking at the first, and
10
     this looks like a part of Charleston County.
11
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8:
                                    (Inaudible).
12
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Oh, huh?
13
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: (Inaudible).
14
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER:
15
     looking at the first, and it looks like a
16
     part of -- it looks like a part of
     Charleston County, or maybe this is -- and
17
18
     I'm showing my ignorance of the State's
19
     geography, but I'm looking at what appears
20
     to be an unusual configuration, that in my
     layperson's eyes, would have been easier
21
22
     just to kind of have a swap. And there
     seems to be a little cutting out of some of
23
24
     the areas here. So, that's why I wanted the
25
     detail.
```

Page 43 1 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Are you specifically talking about a particular -so, I can give you an example, for instance, 3 where Charleston and Berkley --REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Why is 6 Patrick Dennis over here, Mr. Chairman? 7 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Does that let me off the hook? 8 9 MR. DENNIS: Jasper County line 10 (inaudible). REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I have a 11 distraction over here, what did you say 12 13 there? 14 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I was simply 15 saying, and again trying to -- I know it's 16 difficult to try and communicate on the maps here, but for instance, I can tell you it 17 18 wasn't an arbitrary concept. 19 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh. 20 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: The line in 21 Charleston goes up to the county line with 22 Berkley County, so --23 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: So, I'm 24 told, Mr. -- I'm told by PD that our trusted 25 Chief of -- you all's trusted Chief of

Page 44 1 Staff, that I'm looking at a Dorchester County line. And it looks to me, like it may not necessarily be Dor-- I -- let me 3 (inaudible) -- let me yield? And come up 5 here --REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Sure. 7 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: -- and show your people what I'm talking about, and 8 9 have them tell me what I'm looking at. May 10 I do that? 11 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 12 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you, 13 Mr. Jordan, appreciate your patience. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, ma'am. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 16 SPEAKER POPE: Mr. Jordan, if you have 17 18 further -- Mr. Govan has a question. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 9: This 19 20 (inaudible) --21 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Yeah, 22 (inaudible)? 23 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: Thank you very 24 much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Jordan, I -- you 25 know, I want to just tag onto that. I

Page 45 quess, when I'm looking at this map, it 1 2. looks like you got a high sign, for the lack of a better term, thumbs up in the 1st 3 Congressional District. And I'm looking at 5 a -- it looks like a -- I don't know whether 6 that's a county line at the -- at the -above the elbow portion. But if that's a 7 county line, I'm just curious in terms of 9 why, you know, what the issue was there? 10 And also, in terms of -- you go up towards Richland County, and if the green portion is 11 the 6th Congressional District, and you're 12 13 talking about continuity and lines that are contiguous to one another, it -- that little 14 15 piece up there that looks like a tag -- if 16 you would -- could you -- and it's kind of hard to see, could you kind of explain the 17 18 rationale behind that? When, you know, in terms of your introduction, you were talking 19 20 about meeting the traditional redistricting 21 criteria. Because it does beg the question. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, nothing would have made me happier, Representative 23 24 Govan, had we added up the population, and 25 everybody fit nicely into county blocks, and

Page 46 1 we didn't have to break a single county line in order to accommodate all these diff-- all these congressional districts. What I would tell you, is the points you point out, if 5 you would -- if you have a chance, look at 6 the 2010 congressional boundaries, and they look very, very similar to that. And again, as we attempt to deal with that population 9 influx, both up and down, depending on 10 different crosses -- parts of the state, bear in mind and remember, we start from a 11 12 point of the 2010 process, or map version 13 that we've been living under, that we know 14 was -- went through the process and 15 determined to be Department of Justice 16 approved and legal reasonable. So, again, I think some of the things you point out are 17 18 either similar to, or I would argue improved 19 by, the modern -- the new plan. 20 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: I -- if I 21 remember some of the areas under that 22 particular draw, I -- we might have some 23 disagreement over that. But I was just 24 curious and trying to point out, in terms of 25 some of the concerns that was shared by some

Page 47 1 of colleagues. The other thing is, you know, you had mentioned that -- the extraordinary lengths that the Committee 3 went through to keep certain communities of 5 interest together. And I just found that to 6 be very interesting, considering the fact 7 that in terms of the House draw, that in instances, particularly involving my county, 8 9 that was certainly not the case. 10 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Well, --11 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: Thank you. 12 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- I would say 13 this, Representative Govan, I certainly 14 respect the comment, but the same criteria 15 and process was employed. But it's 16 difficult -- while that's true, it's 17 difficult to compare the process beyond the 18 criteria and the process, because 124 of us 19 with a pop-- population deviation included 20 in there of two and a half percent on either 21 side of the magic number, so to speak, that 22 we talked about so many times in the House 23 drawing process? Compared to seven 24 congressional districts, where in there is 25 no allowed percentage deviation. So again,

Page 48 1 the process employed, and the criteria employed, are the same, but it's a very different issue we face. 3 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: But you would 5 agree, based on what you just said -- and I 6 appreciate your comments on that -- but that was by our decision, and not by -- out of --7 out of a decision that was made by the 9 Committee, and not out of necessity based on 10 the flexibility that you're allowed under the law, and under the -- under the courts, 11 and what was previously established in the 12 13 criteria, in terms to the standard 14 deviation. 15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I would say that the Committee, the Ad Hoc Committee and 16 the Judiciary Committee, and then the full 17 House as well, went to every length possible 18 19 to make sure we followed the process and the 20 criteria that was legally given to us, and I 21 think we did. 22 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: But you had the flexibility, in terms of the deviation, to 23 24 go to a higher deviation, but we elected not 25 to do that?

Page 49 1 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: We discussed 2. that, at length I believe, in this body. And ultimately, felt like given several 3 issues before us, one being specifically the timeliness, trying to get the process done and that we were so delayed through no fault 6 of this body, or anyone by that matter, by the census data, that the safest course of 9 action regarding the House district line 10 deviation was to stay with what we knew worked, what had worked previously. And 11 12 that was the two and a half percent. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: Well, now we'll 14 respectfully disagree on --15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir. 16 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: -- that, but 17 thank you. Thank you, --18 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir. 19 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: -- Mr. Chairman. 20 SPEAKER POPE: Mr. Garvin's recognized? 21 REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: Thank you, Mr. 22 Speaker, and thank you Mr. Jordan. Mr. 23 Jordan, did you know that I appreciate your 24 service, as well as the service of the 25 members of this committee?

Page 50 1 Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Ι 2. appreciate your service as well. REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: Thank you, Mr. 3 I have -- Mr. Jordan, did you know 4 5 that I actually had the opportunity to watch 6 all the hearings virtually? And I listened 7 very closely --8 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I'm sorry --9 REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: -- (inaudible) 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- you had to 12 do that. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: Well, I really 14 took the time to listen to those hearings, 15 Mr. Jordan, did you know? So, that I could 16 understand some of the issues that we -that would come before this body today. And 17 18 I guess, my concern, Mr. Jordan, did you 19 know, is that it -- they're there -- there 20 are more process concerns. Mr. Jordan, did 21 you know that the first map that the 22 Committee released, many folks were actually 23 fairly pleased with the map, in regards to 24 it being fair, did you know? Did you know 25 that Mr. Jordan?

```
Page 51
 1
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Certainly, I
 2.
     would tell you -- and you --
          REPRESENTATIVE KING: Thank you.
 3
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN:
                                 -- gathered
 5
     this probably from watching, you're never
 6
     going to make everybody happy.
          REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN:
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: And certainly,
 8
 9
     we put out the first version, and we heard
10
     from some folks that said it was not that
11
     bad, and we heard some from some folks,
12
     particularly in Beaufort -- as I addressed
13
     earlier -- that's said it was awful.
14
          REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN:
15
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: And then we put
     out an alternate version, and we heard from
16
     a few folks that said it was much improved,
17
     and we heard from a few folks that didn't
18
19
     like it as well. Back to my underly point
20
     of, we're trying to do the best we can to
21
    put out the best product that complies with
22
     the law, and the under -- with the
     underlying idea that we're not going to make
23
24
     everyone happy.
25
          REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: Right,
```

Page 52 1 absolutely. Mr. Jordan, did you know, I 2. absolutely agree, no matter what you do, folks will -- that you could never please 3 everybody? But Mr. Jordan, did you know 5 that I was watching the hearing earlier this 6 week, and there was a comment made about if the folks down in Beaufort who were displeased with the fact that they were no 9 longer in what is now the 1st Congressional 10 District? And they were actually move towards, did you know, the inland district 11 12 that's currently represented by Congressman 13 Wilson? They -- did you know that those 14 folks raised a concern, and they were then 15 in the second map brought back into the 16 first? But I -- as you are well aware, did you -- you know, folks down in Charleston I 17 18 have also raised concerns? So, I guess my 19 question is, what made the concerns of the 20 Beaufort folks more prevalent to change the actions of a committee, versus the folks in 21 22 Charleston? 23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, what I 24 would say -- very fair question, but 25 ultimately, it's just like any piece of

Page 53 1 legislation. The Committee is tasked, just 2. as the full judiciary was, just as we are, of finding that best possible version to put 3 The folks in Beaufort made a, what forward. 5 I thought in my -- from my vote, was a 6 compelling argument that it wasn't fair to 7 ping pong them back and forth, as they had been during the process. They also, in my 8 9 mind, made a very compelling argument that 10 they, like Charleston, dealt with coastal issues that were unique. That not everyone 11 12 in the state -- other parts of the state 13 deal with, those coastal -- those shoreline 14 issues that are specific to the coastal 15 regions. 16 They also made the point -- back to that ping pong -- that you know, this is 17 working. This is established, why undo and 18 19 change? And I felt like, when you balanced all the different issues together, --20 21 because I do agree with you that, you know, 22 one county doesn't have priority over 23 another -- but it's important when you look

at all the issues, for my vote, I felt like

this made the most sense.

24

25

Page 54 1 REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: All right, and 2. I appreciate that answer, Mr. Jordan. I quess, did you know, Mr. Jordan, that I also 3 think that the issues in Charleston and North Charleston, I think that those 5 6 constituencies also share some of the same concerns, given that they're, you know, a 7 much closer? Downtown Charleston is much 9 closer to North Charleston than downtown 10 Columbia. Did you know that I find that to be concerning? That in this current map 11 that we have the University of South 12 13 Carolina and the College of Charleston in 14 the same legislative district? 15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Again, we could 16 go back and forth, as we do in a lot of ways in our lives, developing a pro and con list, 17 18 and you would make a good argument for some 19 pros and cons on either side. But when you look at the sum total of the facts, it was 20 my opinion that this version was the better 21 22 version, ultimately. 23 REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: Fair enough, 24 Mr. Jordan. Did you know that I disagree, 25 that I think a district --

Page 55 1 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Sure. REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: -- that's 100 miles, and that shares the College of 3 Charleston and the University of South 5 Carolina, did you know, I think that's not a 6 great map? But Mr. Jordan, I'm not going to 7 belabor the point, I guess my next question is the process question. We are all aware 9 that the Senate -- that this map mirrors pretty much, with a few minor tweaks, 10 mirrors the Senate's map. And the Senate's 11 12 map, Mr. Jordan, did you know, was wildly 13 criticized? And -- but for some odd reason, 14 the House decided to adopt a map that was 15 similar to the Senate's map. Mr. Jordan, did you know that I am con-- somewhat 16 17 concerned about the process? Can you, kind 18 of, talk about how we ended up, I guess, for 19 this particular map, do you know, if there 20 were any outside groups that influenced this 21 map? Do you know if any of our 22 congressional members had any input on this particular map? 23 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, I will tell 25 you that no partisan group, national or

Page 56 1 otherwise, were involved in the drafting of this plan. None of that outside partisan stuff took place in this process. process in this was as I -- as I described 5 in that timeline. The Ad Hoc needed a 6 starting point in which to discuss, so we 7 pushed out a version. And I don't know that it would have made sense right out the gate, 9 to push out a version that simply looked 10 like the Senate version. 11 Uh-huh. REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: 12 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: For purposes of 13 discussion of where we go in drawing these 14 maps. 15 Uh-huh. REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: We pushed out a version, we had a hearing on it, we had --17 as I've already stated, a large amount of 18 19 input given to us from the public. We 20 listened to the public and we put another 21 version up. 22 REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: Uh-huh. 23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I would also 24 say, as you brought concerns and others 25 brough concerns, at -- the process -- the

Page 57 1 process is the process. There was multiple opportunities in this time to produce amendments to the map, if the ver-- if the 3 version didn't like -- if a member didn't 5 like the particular issues within the map. 6 At the end of the day, ultimately, I believe the process worked, the public input process 7 worked, and the Ad Hoc Committee produced a 8 9 product that was ultimately approved by the 10 full Judiciary, and now sits before you. REPRESENTATIVE GARVIN: 11 Very good. 12 Thank you, Mr. Jordan. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Yes, sir. SPEAKER POPE: Ms. Matthews is 14 15 recognized. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MATTHEWS: Thank you, 17 Mr. Speaker. Representative Jordan, again, 18 thank you for your hard work on this committee. Did you know that were many 19 20 questions that we asked that people, really, 21 didn't know the answers to? But I do have 22 the answers because a lot of the questions 23 were about Charleston County, which I am a

part of, and I really, pretty much, know

pretty well. So, I'll make a couple points

24

25

Page 58 1 that I am confused about. One of them is 2. the fact that you keep saying something about process, but if I remember corrects, 3 process was not followed in Judiciary when 5 this came out, with -- in regards to who was 6 supposed to be first Vice Chair and lead the 7 Committee. My second point is that, the question was asked about does this split 9 Charleston County, and you gave an answer 10 that you thought that it was kept the way that it was before --11 12 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: No, that's not 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE MATTHEWS: Okay, can you 15 please clarify what --? 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I can 17 absolutely clear that. The question was 18 regarding Beaufort County not being split 19 previously, and Charleston being split in some form or fashion. If you look at the 20 21 two maps, you can clearly see that 22 Charleston is split as it was split, not in 23 the same -- I'm not saying in the same 24 places, or the same percentages, but Charleston County was in fact a split county 25

Page 59 in the prior version and is in fact a split 1 county in this version. The -- and I -- I apologize for any confusion on that issue. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MATTHEWS: No, it's 5 okay. I'm -- let me take my mask off. What 6 Rep Cobb-Hunter was asking you was, were the communities of color split? And I don't 7 know if you know this about me, but I'm 9 really particular about being clear. So, 10 let me be very clear, it was split. The 1st Congressional District was given the white 11 12 areas of Charleston County, and 13 Congressional District 6 was given the black areas of Charleston County, predominantly. 14 15 So, to -- the answer to her question, if you 16 look at the data on your screen right now, in Congressional District 1, if you go to 17 track 54, that's where you see the 18 19 Charleston County. If you go for six, is 20 track 51, that's where you'll see the list 21 for Charleston County. And when you look at 22 the way those tracks were split, it is very 23 clear, based on where people live how those 24 were split. 25 I also would like to just add, for the

Page 60 1 record, since you had a lot of statements for the record, that I don't really understand why West Ashley was cut in half, 3 and it put John Island in six. Because I 5 thought we were supposed to keep communities 6 of interest together, and that, for me, was a little confusing. 8 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, several 9 points I would like to address in there, and 10 going back to some things I've already said. Communities of interest, compactness, 11 12 multiple criterias that we attempt to look 13 at, in figuring out balancing these 14 congressional districts off each other. 15 would say, be careful to look at any one 16 small particular area. You know, when you're looking at over 700,000 people across 17 a congressional district, it can be easy to 18 19 just focus on one area, but remember, we're 20 looking at the entire area as a whole. And 21 I said to Representative Cobb-Hunter, you 22 can look at the percentages from 2010 and 11 to now, and clearly see it's not packed and 23 24 there's a difference there. Did you have 25 another question?

Page 61 1 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: 2. (Inaudible). 3 SPEAKER POPE: Ms. Cobb-Hunter is 4 recognized. 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Is that it? 6 SPEAKER POPE: Ms. Cobb-Hunter is 7 recognized. 8 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you 9 so much, Mr. Speaker. Let me, if I may, Mr. 10 Jordan, again, just trying to make sure I'm clear on how we're doing things now and how 11 they've been done in the past. I'm still 12 13 curious about the Committee's criteria, and 14 how all of that was ranked and applied. 15 the Committee have a criteria that was 16 established for each district? 17 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Do we have a 18 copy of that? We have --19 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: How you 20 ranked criteria? Like you talked about 21 compactness, contiguity. So, my question is 22 whether or not the Committee had -- so, is 23 that -- oh, (inaudible), I'm sorry. 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: No, I just 25 wanted to be able to -- so, to answer your

Page 62 1 question, the Committee adopted one of the very first things -- if not the first thing -- the Committee did was adopt a criteria, -5 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- of which we 7 would operate under. And you're absolutely right, they are -- they -- it starts with 8 9 the Constitution of the United States, then 10 Federal Law, then State Law, then equal population, then contiguity, compactness, 11 communities of interest, incumbency 12 13 consideration. If you follow --14 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Uh-huh. 15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- down the 16 ranking. 17 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Okay, and was that criteria applied uniformly across 18 the board at all levels? You all looked at 19 those things you just read to me as 20 committee criteria? Was it uniformly 21 22 applied or was there some application in some districts -- and I'm talking 23 24 congressional, not House. I'm just trying 25 to figure out if it was uniformly applied,

Page 63 1 the rankings? REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, what I would tell you is, you know, it -- there's a 3 reason why it was listed in that order. 4 5 Having said that, it was the Committee's 6 attempt to employ all of these things in the 7 process of adopting the map. 8 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I'm trying 9 to figure out, Mr. Jordan, whether or not --10 two things, one, was the same rank -- was it -- was it applied consistently, number one, 11 regardless of which of the seven districts 12 13 we are talking about. Or whether or not 14 there was come criteria that was applied 15 differently in the 1st and the 6th 16 Congressional District, than in the other five. If that makes sense? 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I would say, 19 the criteria is the criteria. I don't me to 20 be -- trying to avoid the question, --21 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I got you. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- but, you 23 know, we --24 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I got you. 25 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- we put that

Page 64 1 before the Ad Hoc Committee, I think it was 2. unanimously adopted, and we attempted to follow it. 3 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I was just 5 trying to figure out if it was higher or 6 lower, depending on the Committee's 7 conversation and action? If I may, just one -- one or two more questions, and these are 9 Voting Rights Act questions. I know, thanks 10 to the Supreme Court, mainly Chief Justice Roberts, the 2013 decision gutted the Voting 11 12 Rights Act. And all protections for voters 13 of color, for disabled voters, all of that 14 was gutted by the Robert's Court in 2013, as 15 it relates to Section 5. What was still 16 there is Section 2. Was there a Section 2 17 analysis that was done on these maps? you all do a Section 2 analysis? 18 19 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I think --20 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: And if you 21 did, may I see the results of that analysis? 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- what I would -- what I would say is, because I -- you're 23 24 absolutely right, and there was two --25 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER:

Page 65 1 Speaker? I'm so sorry, but Mr. Jordan's voice is real soft, and your leadership over here --REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Ouch. 5 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: -- is 6 making way too much noise for me to hear. 7 Would you do something with them? SPEAKER POPE: Mr. Simrill appears to 8 9 be leaving. 10 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: And that's my chairman, too. 11 12 SPEAKER POPE: Yeah. 13 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I'm just 14 crushed. 15 SPEAKER POPE: Sergeant, if you would help us maintain some quiet in here? 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Oh, wow. 18 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Mr. Jordan, if 19 you'll speak up a little bit. 20 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you, 21 Mr. Speaker. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I'll do my 23 best, Mr. Speaker. 24 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Did you 25 say yes, you all did an analysis?

```
Page 66
 1
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I would -- I
     would say this, I -- because I -- you're
     absolutely right.
 3
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: So, that's
 5
     no?
 6
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: You're
 7
     absolutely right that the 2013 decision did
 8
     change the process to some degree. What I
 9
     would argue -- thank you, I got ice this
10
     time too. Thank you.
                         Uh-huh.
11
          CLERK CROMER:
12
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: To my
13
     knowledge, to answer your question
14
     specifically, we did everything in
15
     compliance with the law that we were told
16
     and required to do.
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: That's a
17
18
     nice lawyer answer. I'm not a lawyer. So,
19
     does that mean yes, you all did a Section 2
20
     analysis? Or no, you did not?
21
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 10:
                                    (Inaudible).
22
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Right. To my
     knowledge, we did everything we possibly
23
24
     needed to do under the terms of the law.
25
          REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you,
```

Page 67 1 Mr. Chairman. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: I will 3 take that as a "no," you did not do a vote -4 5 - a Section 2 analysis. 6 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: To my 7 knowledge, we complied with every aspect of the law. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: You are so 10 good. Thank you, Mr. Jordan. Thank you so much, Mr. --11 12 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you. 13 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: 14 Speaker. 15 SPEAKER POPE: Yes, ma'am. Mr. Thigpen 16 is recognized? 17 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Huh? 18 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Thank you, Mr. 19 Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Jordan, for answering questions and staying up there as 20 21 long as you have. Do you know that I 22 believe that this is not an easy task? Did 23 you know that as stated in committee, with 24 this process it's impossible to please 25 everyone? With that being said, did you

Page 68 1 know that I believe that the greatest tool 2. that we have, in taking on such a difficult task, is process? Did you know that my 3 concern about the transparency of the process, and the consistency of the process, 6 is what has caused me to rise? Did you know When we look at the first map that was drawn by the House, in comparison to the 9 second map that we're looking at now, could you tell me what was the primary impetus, 10 primary trigger, difference? What caused 11 12 this to go from one map to the next? I know 13 you may have stated it before, if you would 14 just be so kind as to restate it. 15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, what I 16 would say is, the process was the biggest 17 factor in the change. As I stated earlier, the Committee took input all -- from all 18 19 folks across the state. When it came time 20 for the congressional districts, we pushed out a starting point, just like we did in 21 22 the House plan. A starting point. If you 23 remember in that House plan, that starting 24 point was amended several times during the 25 course of the process that ultimately led to

Page 69

- 1 what we passed. The congressional
- 2 districts, we put out the account staff plan
- 3 as a starting point. In my mind, it didn't
- 4 make a whole lot of sense to put out a
- 5 starting point for discussion -- or you had
- 6 to have a starting point for discussion. We
- 7 pushed that plan out, we had public
- 8 hearings, we listened to the public, and we
- 9 made alterations based on the public input.
- 10 And the -- some of the concerns that we were
- 11 raised, we had an alternative plan to
- 12 discuss.
- Those plans were at all times on equal
- 14 footing in the -- in the eyes of the
- 15 Committee. Or in the power of the
- 16 Committee, maybe is a better way to say it,
- 17 the authority of the Committee. The
- 18 Committee met after having time to -- ample
- 19 time for folks to weigh in, and having time
- 20 to digest the issues that were presented to
- 21 the Committee. And ultimately, the plan
- 22 that had -- that was voted out is the plan
- 23 that you see before you. The only plan, I
- 24 might add, that was -- that was motioned to
- 25 be approved and voted on in that process.

Page 70 1 So, I would tell you that -- I hope that's clear, you know, walking through what we did, when we did, kind of, thing. REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Right, thank -5 - and thank you too for supplying that 6 answer again. The question I have, 7 particularly to process, is when input was taken, public input, -- and I know we've 8 9 weighed heavily on the input, I believe, 10 from Beaufort County. When we talk about the input from Charleston, and other areas, 11 was there more weight, more partiality -- if 12 13 you would -- more importance given to input 14 from one area than the next? Or how did you 15 go about determining prioritizing the input? 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I certainly 17 don't think one area was given any more 18 priority over the other. I can tell you, just for -- however you -- for whatever it's 19 20 worth, the volume of input was higher from 21 the folks in Beaufort. I think, when we 22 added up all the comments, I think roughly 85% of the issues came out of Beaufort 23 24 comparatively. With the -- as it related to 25 the issue we're talking about.

Page 71 1 ultimately, -- I can't remember who asked the question earlier -- it goes back to, I'm simply one vote on the Committee. And when I analyzed the issues before me -- and again, we could talk about the pros and the 6 cons that have delineated here today on this -- the floor of this -- the floor of the House. Ultimately, to me, I felt like it 8 9 made more sense for the version you have in 10 front of you. REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Right, and I 11 12 appreciate that. And again, I am not in any 13 way discrediting the intent. And I agree 14 with you, in regards to the pros and cons 15 that either could have. I think you could 16 make pros and cons for anything. Equally, I think also, with the process being the 17 process, and the criteria being the 18 19 criteria, would you agree with me that that 20 is something that we can always look at? There's always room for improvement, any 21 22 process that we have, right? 23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: A -- the 24 process is what makes us special. 25 process is what, you know, -- what we need

Page 72 1 to rely on. REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Right, and once again, to restate the original 3 statement in question, is that I believe 5 process is so important to what we do, 6 particularly when it comes to transparency. 7 To that regard, when it comes to some of the specifics and statistics that Representative 8 9 Cobb-Hunter was referencing, i.e., BVAP, in 10 the original plan that was submitted by the House -- the starter, as you named it, and 11 12 the plan that we have before us now, did 13 that BVAP go up, did it go down? Did it 14 stay the same? Was that a target, or a 15 concern or consideration in the rendering of 16 a new map? REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, if you 17 18 (inaudible) --REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: 19 In --20 particularly in Congressional District 1. 21 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: You're talking 22 about District 1? 23 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Yes, sir. 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: District 1, 25 you're -- and you're comparing the ori-- the

Page 73 1 alternate version that you have in front of you versus the original --3 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: House original. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- staff 6 presented --7 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Yes. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- plan? 8 9 believe the percentage, --10 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: The starter, I believe you called it. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- I believe it 13 went down from the first one to the second 14 one. And if you compare it to District 6, 15 it went down as well. So, again, you're balancing those two off of each other 16 17 primarily. Does that make sense? 18 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Yeah, I just 19 want to make sure that I understood you 20 correctly. So, you're saying that --21 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Here, I'll just 22 read you the statistics. 23 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Go ahead. 24 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, --25 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: That would be

Page 74 1 -- that would be even better. 2. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- so, original 3 House plan, 20.27 down to 15.67, 50-point--REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: That's 5 District 1? 6 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- 50.67 to 7 47.57. So, you know, same -- similar 8 percentage --9 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: (Inaudible) --10 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: neighborhoods, but adjusted as I just 11 12 described. 13 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Okay. Thank 14 you. 15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, sir. 16 SPEAKER LUCAS: Ms. McDaniel, did you have a question for Mr. Jordan? Yeah. Is 17 18 that up, down? 19 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: (Inaudible). 20 SPEAKER LUCAS: Ms. McDaniel is 21 recognized. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Thank you, 23 Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Jordan. I just want to commend that committee on all of the 24 25 hard work that you guys did. I did watch

Page 75 1 many of the sessions via the internet. 2. I have just a few questions, if you don't mind? And just trying to make sure I 3 understand processes, since this is my first 5 time going through this. When the green --6 is that District 6? Can I -- where -where's your -- may I hold that? Okay, yeah, District 6, where we went down, looked 9 like Beaufort, and picked up Charleston. 10 looked like we was about to make a complete circle and we changed our mind? Is that the 11 part where I'm hearing there's concern about 12 13 where Charleston is split? 14 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, I'm sorry, 15 say that again? 16 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, if you're looking at District 6, and you go all 17 18 the way down by the coast? And let me --19 and let me preface by stating, I worked in 20 Charleston for six years, so I have a lot of friends and associates that are still in 21 22 Charleston. So, I do have an intricate 23 interest in Charleston, as well as my 24 district, which is District 6. But in 25 District 6, it goes all the way down to the

Page 76 coast, and I see Charleston written on the 1 map, and it almost made a circle, but it did not. It looked like it went around Berkley 3 County. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 11: She said one 6 and six. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Am I looking at the right map? 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, here -here's what I would say do, if you have the 10 -- I think you have some maps in front of 11 12 you. The easiest --13 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: This is the 14 right one. 15 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: The easiest way 16 to do it is to compare the 2010 and the plan before you. And then --17 18 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, let me 19 just ask the questions then, so I don't have 20 to do that. What percentage -- oh, any commonsense person would think that when the 21 22 census came in, that Charleston grew -- if 23 I'm not mistaken -- more than any of the 24 other districts, is that correct? 25 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Parts of

```
Page 77
 1
     Charleston grew, yes. For instance, --
          REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: What --
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- Mount
 3
     Pleasant, if you remember, we have a new
 4
 5
     House district --
 6
          REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: What --
 7
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- there,
     because of the exploding population,
 8
 9
     particularly on the coastal area.
10
          REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, is
11
     Mount Pleasant a separate county, or is this
12
     part of Charleston?
13
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Mount Pleasant
14
     is part of Charleston.
15
          REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, so
     Charleston is one of the counties that grew
16
17
     more than any other county, correct?
18
          REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Correct.
19
          REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, so I
20
     think the concern is, why would we split
     Charleston? Now, when I worked down in
21
22
     Charleston, there was some sense of -- I
23
     don't know how to say it, (inaudible) --
24
     except just say it, that there -- that the
25
     folk in Charle-- in North Charleston was a
```

Page 78 1 whole lot different from the people that was on the -- on the bottom side of Charleston. On the side of Charleston downtown and going 3 up -- and going across to Mount Pleasant and 5 all those areas. 6 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Uh-huh. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: So, what was the motivation to actually split Charleston 8 9 period? Because I was thinking that as we were drawing these maps, the counties that 10 11 were larger, most -- were in most part, 12 since the numbers were increasing, would be the counties that we would make whole. So, 13 14 what was the appetite for (inaudible) -- for 15 splitting Charleston? 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Well, remember, -- something we've talked about previously -17 - we're dealing with not particular 18 19 counties, we're dealing with that 700-plus 20 thousand people population that we have to, 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay. 23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- you know, 24 divide up and put into groups equally. 25 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, so --

Page 79 1 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, --REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: -- how many people do we have in Charleston? How many 3 people lives in Charleston? 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I don't have 6 the total, but I'm getting to your question 7 here. So, in the prior version, if you look at it you can see Charleston was actually 9 split in two places. So, it was always 10 split previously. This version actually, in my opinion, makes it better by minimalizing 11 12 that spit-- split down to one. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, but 14 that does not seem to be what I'm hearing 15 from most of the people I know that's still 16 lives in Charleston. And people who are concerned about if we had started with 17 18 Charleston and kept it whole -- which I'm 19 hearing a lot of Beaufort wanted to be part 20 of Charleston, and I'm also hearing that if 21 we kept Beaufort and Charleston together, 22 there still would have been room for other 23 people of that -- to put in that district. 24 So, if we had kept Charleston and Beaufort 25 whole, and started with that district first,

Page 80 1 then we could have went around and made the other districts. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Well, --REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Because --5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- may I -- may 6 I answer that? 7 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Yeah, go ahead. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, I would point you back to a comment that I consider 10 11 very applicable, that Representative Thigpen 12 made a little while ago. You know, picking 13 and choosing -- you know, you have to start 14 from somewhere, I'm not saying that. But 15 saying, you know, one county is any more 16 favored than the other was not the process. 17 Again, I -- back to that criteria that we 18 applied, ultimately taking into 19 consideration the bigger point, which was 20 getting those equal populations in all seven congressional districts. So, just saying 21 22 Charleston -- as much as I love Charleston -23 - has a priority over other counties, --24 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay, so then 25 which county did we start with, as it

Page 81 relates to starting to draw onto the maps? 1 2. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Well, in the version you're looking at now, we 3 essentially -- the starting point was the 5 prior map that we lived under for ten years, 6 that we look-- that we knew was legally appropriate, and Department of Justice approved. I would also tell you -- and 9 again, I think you have the sense, maybe, 10 that we're picking on Charleston. larger counties are split. Greenville, 11 Spartanburg, Richland all have splits to 12 13 accommodate this idea of making the 14 populations add up to that 700-plus thousand 15 equal number. 16 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Okay. Okay, 17 Rep Jordan. Now, you do know that when you all drew my House district, you all did go 18 19 into my district and make a community whole 20 that was not whole before? 21 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Now, be 22 careful, I don't -- I don't mean -- I mean, 23 what I mean by be careful is, be careful to 24 compare the House process and district. 25 Remember --

Page 82 1 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: No, no, no. 2. I'm not speaking relative to process; I'm speaking relative to keeping communities 3 whole. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: You're --REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: So, there was 7 an appetite to make a community whole that was once split. So, I guess I'm trying to 8 9 figure out why it wasn't an appetite to keep 10 Charleston whole, being it's one of the counties in the state that brings in a lot 11 12 of revenue, we have the Port down there. We 13 -- I mean, that's where most of the people 14 who are moving from up North are moving to 15 Charleston. So, I'm just still trying to understand that appetite of not keeping 16 Charleston whole? Particularly in light of 17 18 the concern that we keep hearing from most 19 of the individuals who are in that district, 20 as well as the ones who are in Congressman Clyburn's district. Which I wouldn't even 21 22 mind (inaudible) District 5, if you would 23 have brought Clyburn's district on up to 24 District -- up to Fairfield, so that we 25 would have had an opportunity to probably

Page 83 1 have a chance to be elected to Congress. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: So, what I would say goes back to a point I made to 3 Representative Govan a little while ago. 5 would love it if we could just use county 6 lines, and the population all clicked into 7 place, and we didn't have to split Charleston, or Greenville, or Spartanburg, 8 9 or Richland. Which I think you would agree, are all, you know, important counties in 10 South Carolina. But I keep coming back to 11 this overriding concept that we have, to 12 13 make -- to make -- to follow the criteria, -14 15 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Uh-huh. 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- which you can't pick and choose, they're all involved 17 18 in this process. 19 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Uh-huh. 20 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: But at the same 21 time, make the math work out and have, substantially, the exact same number of 22 people living in each of these seven 23 24 congressional districts. 25 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: Well, I'm

Page 84 1 going to commend you for standing up there and taking all of the questions that we have asked you. 3 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Well, that's 5 about --6 REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: But --REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: -- to stop. REPRESENTATIVE MCDANIEL: -- but I 8 9 think that in some of the explanations, the 10 rehearsal, or the repetition, this is great. But I do believe that we could have done a 11 12 better job, and I think with Charleston 13 being one of our largest and one of our most 14 productive counties in this state down on 15 the coast, it should have been stayed -- it 16 should have stayed whole, so that all of the 17 revenues would flow up together coming from 18 Charleston, while we kept -- keep communities whole. And I don't know how 19 20 much time you've spent in Charleston, but I think North Charleston and Charleston 21 22 together would have done a lot to pull those 23 communities together, and make Charleston a 24 much better county overall. Versus that 25 split between North Charleston and downtown

- 1 Charleston. But thank you.
- 2 SPEAKER LUCAS: Mr. King is recognized.
- 3 Mr. King.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Thank you, Mr.
- 5 Speaker and colleagues. I want to start off
- 6 by saying, I'm concerned with the conduct of
- 7 the meeting of the Judiciary Committee on
- 8 Monday, January the 10th, 2022. The rules
- 9 of the Judiciary Committee are clear. Rule
- 10 one states, that the first vice chair shall
- 11 preside over a committee meeting in the
- 12 absence of the chairman. As the chairman
- 13 was absent from the meeting, the rules
- 14 required that I, in my capacity, as the
- 15 first vice chair, preside over the meeting.
- 16 Yet this did not happen. Instead, another
- 17 representative presided over the meeting,
- 18 per written designation of the chairman that
- 19 cited unspecific, extra-ordinary
- 20 circumstances, as justification for this
- 21 unprecedented deviation from the normal
- 22 operating procedures of the Committee. The
- 23 meeting was held in violation of the
- 24 Committee rules, and constituted a breach of
- 25 decorum in the House of Representatives.

Page 86 1 We are a body that functions according 2. to established rules and procedures. we are ruled by the majority, but the rights 3 of the minorities, and the rights of individual members must -- and I say must --6 be respected. Dis-procedure irregularity is particularly concerning because it took place during a meeting on congressional 9 reapportionment. The majority broke its own 10 Let me restate that, the majority broke its own rule, in order to get this 11 12 bill to the floor today. 13 And when we look at the map, you can 14 see why. It is because this map is 15 gerrymandered. It was drawn to elect six 16 Republicans and only one Democrat. cracked and packs African American 17 18 communities, thus diluting the power of 19 African Americans to -- African Americans to 20 influence elections. This map breaks up counties and cities, in order to put as many 21 22 black folk, or black voters, into one 23 district. Look no further than the 24 Lowcountry. Look no further than Charleston 25 County. A county that is nearly one quarter

Page 87 Rather than keep Charleston County 1 black. whole, as the people have Charleston have testified again and again that they want, this map splits the county. I was told in 5 committee on Monday, that the 1st 6 Congressional District is supposed to keep coastal communities together in one 7 committee of interest. That makes sense to 9 me. 10 Why then does this map go out of its way to remove coastal communities in 11 Charleston County? I think John's Island and 12 13 -- if I pronounce it right -- Wadmalaw 14 Island, have much more in coming with 15 Charleston and Beaufort than downtown 16 Columbia. Yet under this map, both of those islands are in the same District as downtown 17 18 Columbia, more than 100 miles away. 19 As we vote for this map today, I would 20 have to vote against it. As I stated in committee on Monday, it is apparent -- it is 21 22 apparent that if you look at the map, the 23 heavily African American areas were placed 24 in Congressional District 6 to ensure that

Republicans win six of the seven

25

Page 88 1 congressional districts in this state. I've stated earlier, Congressional District 5 has no voice and no vote on these maps in subcommittee. As I've state before, there are members on Judiciary that are from 6 Congressional District 5, who were 7 overlooked I the past. Since we're looking and have spoken about all day today by the 9 chairman, that we wanted to be as closely in 10 line with what we did in the past reapportionment and redistricting process. 11 12 That process was done by the election 13 commiss-- committee of Judiciary. That was 14 not that -- that was not done this time. 15 So, if we look at what has happened 16 during this process, it is important for me to point out that if you look at who the 17 senior member is, and who has gone through 18 19 the process on Judiciary before of 20 redistricting, that is myself. If you look 21 at the process, as to who sits on Election 22 Laws Subcommittee from the 5th Congressional District, that is me, Representative John 23

There was very effort to keep me out

of the process, starting with the selection

24

25

Page 89 1 of the Committee. Also, going into Judici--2. Judiciary meeting on Monday, to circumvent the rule where it says, "you shall, you 3 shall, upon the absence of the chair, the vice chair chairs the Committee." To those 5 6 that are listening outside of the four walls of these chambers, look and listen --7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 12: That's right. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- to what I'm saying. And they're saying that the process 10 is transparent, open, and honest. It has 11 12 not been. It has not been. The small 13 things that you all have done. The small 14 things that you have done has given this a 15 black eye, when you could have been above 16 board about the process. If the shoe fit, wear it. Whoever needs to hear this, wear 17 it. 18 19 I cannot sit by and not say something 20 when you have blatantly disrespected me as a member of this body. This is the most 21 22 important piece of legislation that we will 23 take up in this chamber, because this piece 24 of legislation -- and when I say 25 legislation, I'm talking about

Page 90 1 reapportionment and redistricting -- will 2. affect generations. And those generations have some of my bloodline in it. It has 3 some of my bloodline in it, because my nieces, nephews, cousins, will have to live 6 with the decisions that we make. If we're going to have rules, let's follow them. you don't follow the rules, why have them? 9 You came up here to fast track this piece of 10 legislation by having the chairwoman of Rules come up here. But I go into 11 12 Judiciary, and the rules that we put in 13 place were not followed. I'm not even sure 14 if this piece of legislation can even come 15 to the floor, because the procedures of what 16 we are supposed to do in Judiciary, by the rules, were not followed. 17 18 And the first thing that I was taught 19 when I was elected, and the speaker at that 20 time was Bobby Harrel, and we were in the Block Building, and they brought us in for 21 22 orientation. Two things they told us, your word is your bond, learn the rules and 23 24 you'll do well here. I've always tried to

be honest, where you may not agree with me,

25

Page 91 1 but I tell you how I feel, and you don't 2. have to wonder. If you ask me something and I give you an answer, you can take it to the I will not lie to you. I've tried to 5 learn the rules and respect the rules. And 6 it is disheartening, regardless of how you may feel about me, it is disheartening when you walk into a committee meeting, and the 9 rules are not followed, and you're 10 disrespected. Mr. Speaker and colleagues, I'm 11 12 disappointed. I'm disappointed in my 13 colleagues, and Mr. Speaker, I would hope that you as the leader of this chamber, 14 15 would ensure that the rules that are put in 16 place are followed. And let me say this, 17 this is by no way a jab at my colleague who ran the meeting. And let me be very clear 18 19 here, he's more than capable of conducting

- 20 any meeting, but the process, and the rule
- 21 was not followed. And I don't take it
- 22 lightly, because it's not about me, it's
- 23 about this institution. And when we, as
- 24 members of this Chamber disregard the
- 25 established rules that we vote on and put

Page 92 1 forth, it hurts this institution that we all 2. say we care and love. So, while we may be up here voting for these maps today, what do we send -- or what 5 message do we send to the general public 6 when we can't even follow the rules in which we have established in our committees and in this chamber? But we'll sit up here and 9 make laws to put people in jail when they 10 don't follow the rules of this state. Mr. Speaker, I think it's dangerous. 11 12 think it's dangerous when we have rules that 13 we circumvent to bring a congressional map 14 out here. But more importantly, a 15 congressional map that does nothing but 16 empowers one particular party for the next Take away the race and how you 17 10 years. 18 packed and cracked black districts, but more 19 importantly, why you made -- I -- I'm 20 concerned about every South Carolinian being 21 able to have an opportunity to run for which ever party they run in, but have a fair 22 23 chance. A fair chance, and an opportunity 24 to run for office and not be voted down 25 before they even put the name as a

- 1 registered person to run for office.
- 2 It's not a good day in South Carolina,
- 3 it's a sad day in South Carolina. And
- 4 Representative Gilliard and I, a few years
- 5 ago, for the members who were not here,
- 6 when then Governor Nikki Haley made it a
- 7 part of her administration to have everyone
- 8 answer the phone, "it's a great day in South
- 9 Carolina." We put up a bill that said, "no,
- 10 it's not." It's not a great day in South
- 11 Carolina, and I'm not going to pretend like
- 12 it is. We've got children suffering in this
- 13 state. We've got old folk that can't even
- 14 keep their homes, because we're taxing them
- 15 out. And Wendy Brawley, Representative
- 16 Brawley, puts up something that would help
- 17 those folk, and we vote it down. But we'll
- 18 rush a bill that gives Republicans more
- 19 power in this state. We're fast to change
- 20 the rule when you're afraid of who maybe the
- 21 chair. All I have to do is follow the
- 22 rules, I have to conduct the meeting, what
- 23 more can I do? But you want to answer the
- 24 phones, "it's a great day in South
- 25 Carolina," when you have segments of this

Page 94 1 community, of this state, who feel left out? 2. Who feel unappreciated? And we're up here drawing maps that will affect people for 3 years to come, and then you wonder why 5 private loan don't want to move to South 6 Carolina? Or better yet, when we have people who are here in South Carolina, who want to stay here, they have to leave 9 because they don't have opportunities, or 10 they don't feel like they have opportunities, to want to stay here. 11 12 We come up here every year and arque 13 about how many students come here from out 14 of state, and go to different institutions 15 around this state, and then they leave, and 16 we have given them scholarships and they don't stay in South Carolina. It's because 17 of the craziness we do in this room. People 18 19 don't want to come to a state where we're 20 divided and can't do things right. People 21 are looking at us. I've been in South 22 Carolina my entire life, I love this state, 23 when I graduated from Morehouse, I had job 24 offers all over the country, as most men of 25 Morehouse have, because that's what they

Page 95 1 pride themselves on.

- 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 13: Excuse me.
- 3 REPRESENTATIVE KING: And while you may
- 4 laugh, honestly, they focus on that, career
- 5 planning and placement. But I chose to come
- 6 back home, because I love South Carolina.
- 7 South Carolina has been good to me, it's
- 8 been good to my family, but I can't say the
- 9 same for my nieces and my nephews in
- 10 reference to staying in this state.
- 11 We have to do better. And to vote for
- 12 these gerrymandered maps, which pack and
- 13 crack African American areas of this state,
- 14 it's not right. Absolutely, not right.
- 15 There's no way if you look at this map, you
- 16 can make Beaufort whole, go down, take all
- 17 the black folk, put them in Congressional
- 18 District 6. Crack it, divide it, whatever
- 19 you want to call it, so that you weaken the
- voices of the people of District 1. All
- 21 because there's been a Democrat there
- 22 before, we've got to go in there and we've
- got to make sure that no Democrat don't win
- 24 that congressional seat no more. Make it
- 25 competitive, as it was. Heck, a Democrat

Page 96 had it, Republican had it. Then it went

- · •
- 2 back to the Republican. It was a
- 3 competitive district.

1

- 4 So, those that are listening, who are
- 5 preparing to sue South Carolina? Yes, this
- 6 is party driven lines. And how did they do
- 7 it? Cracked the black districts and packed,
- 8 and put them all in Congressional 6. Now, I
- 9 respect my congressman, but I think he needs
- 10 competition over in Congressional District
- 11 5. I believe that we all should have an
- 12 opportunity, regardless of if you are a
- 13 Democrat or Republican, to run for those
- 14 seats and not be counted out before you even
- 15 start. I'm pretty sure Congressman Clyburn
- 16 wouldn't mind giving up some black folk to
- 17 make other districts competitive in this
- 18 state, because he's under the belief that
- 19 he's done a great job. People have seen his
- 20 work, and they'll vote for him. But it
- 21 seems as if Republicans are afraid of
- 22 competition. Do your job, people vote for
- 23 you, regardless of if your Democrat or
- 24 Republican. There are some Democrats and
- 25 some Republicans that are in heavy

Page 97 republican districts or democrat districts.

- 2 It's the person. But you all want to crack
- 3 and pack, in order to accomplish your goal
- 4 of 10 years of non-competitive districts.

1

- 5 Us today, maybe you tomorrow, because I
- 6 remember when South Carolina was a Democrat
- 7 state. And guess what, Democrats shared
- 8 power. But when it's time for someone to
- 9 serve as a chair, according to the rules,
- 10 Republicans change the rules. Without even
- 11 -- without two thirds vote of the Committee.
- 12 By just a written letter by one person, the
- 13 chair of the Committee. Remember, John
- 14 today, maybe you tomorrow. Because the
- 15 rules don't just affect me, it affects
- 16 everyone in this room. Every one of us in
- 17 this room. We got to live in this state
- 18 together, you all. We've got to start doing
- 19 what's right for the people of South
- 20 Carolina. And today was the day to start by
- 21 drawing fair maps, which allows every voice
- 22 to be heard in every district. Obviously,
- 23 the congressional people didn't care,
- 24 because when we asked Representative Jordan,
- 25 had any of them participated in the process,

- 1 they didn't say -- they didn't care, because
- 2 he said that no one reached out to him from
- 3 the congressional people. Unlike everyone
- 4 in this room, when it came down to our
- 5 districts, we were involved, because we
- 6 wanted to ensure that the communities of
- 7 interest stayed intact. Oh, they didn't
- 8 have to worry about it, because they knew
- 9 they was going to pack all the black folk in
- 10 Congressional 6. They were going to go down
- 11 into Charleston and crack it a little bit to
- 12 make Congressional 1 stronger for the
- 13 Republican. And they were assured once they
- 14 did that, all the rest of them were going to
- 15 be taken care of.
- So, to answer your question, Ms.
- 17 McDaniel, the map started with Congressional
- 18 6. They had to make sure all the black folk
- 19 were put with Clyburn. Then they went
- 20 around that and created all the others. So,
- 21 that's how the map was created. They were
- 22 going to get you one Democrat. And how do
- 23 you do that? We crack and we pack. So,
- 24 while you may not know the answer, or they
- 25 may not give it to you, I can assure you,

Page 99 that's how it was created. 1 That was that 2. The rule was, and the instructions was, we got to get Jim Clyburn, Congressman Clyburn out of the way, because then that way we can get all the Republicans elected 6 across the other six congressional districts. So, we're going to pack all the 7 black folk from Richland County and all over 9 into Congressional District 6. They -- I'm 10 surprised they didn't come to Fairfield, because you all are heavily African 11 12 American. I guess they had to give 13 Congressman Norman just a little bit of 14 black folk. 15 So, as you continue this process, don't 16 think that people in this state are 17 delusional as to how this process has 18 faltered, has been -- has gone the way that 19 the Republicans were instructed to do it. 20 And because I'm vocal, they started there. Senior member, only one been through 21 22 redistricting as a House member? No, no, 23 no, he isn't going to be on that committee, 24 talks too much. Come around -- see, let me 25 tell you about me, I'm honest, I know what

- 1 you say about me so it don't matter. And I
- 2 know I talk. But one thing people know in
- 3 House District 49 -- and my colleagues will
- 4 tell you, because I get on TV up there --
- 5 what I say here, I say it back home. When I
- 6 walk in places in my district? Go in some
- 7 of the store up there, they got my picture
- 8 up. Representative King in my district.
- 9 They trust me, because I'm always honest
- 10 with them. I have people who call me on my
- 11 phone and say, "Representative King, I don't
- 12 like how you voted, I isn't voting for you."
- 13 I said, "that's your prerogative, don't vote
- 14 for me." I don't come down here to be
- 15 elected for two more years. My time might
- 16 be out in June.
- 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 12: That's right.
- 18 REPRESENTATIVE KING: But you're going
- 19 to know I've been here for the last 12 to 13
- 20 years. I'm going to leave a mark. And if I
- 21 get elected again, only God's grace. But
- 22 the two years that he has blessed me with,
- 23 I'm going to do what I have to do for my
- 24 constituency. So, yeah, until this process
- 25 change, and we are respected in this body,

- 1 we will never move forward in this state.
- 2 And I know you all have it in you to do the
- 3 right thing. But I'm glad I'm of a party --
- 4 and when I'm saying -- I'm talking about the
- 5 Democratic Party, I'm glad that Trav
- 6 (phonetic) know not to call me and tell me
- 7 how to vote. I'm glad I'm of a party that
- 8 doesn't put pressure on me and tell me I
- 9 have to tow the party line, because they
- 10 recognize that each one of their members who
- 11 sign up to run as a Democrat has a
- 12 constituency that they have to represent.
- I'm sorry you all have to go through
- 14 that, because I wouldn't be of a party that
- 15 it had to be dic-- they have to be dictating
- 16 to me what I have -- what I can and cannot
- 17 do. That I can't be an individual. Because
- 18 I know from the conversations I have with
- 19 you all, and then I watch your vote, I know
- 20 that can't be who you really are. Because
- 21 you all are some good people up in here, but
- 22 some of you are controlled by the wrong
- 23 party, or the wrong people.
- I don't get very religious up in here,
- 25 but it's times like this that hurt. But my

- 1 mother always says, before I leave to come
- 2 down here, she'll say, "John, it'll be all
- 3 right because the God we serve, --" I never
- 4 leave home without my mother praying,
- 5 silently, every morning. I walk downstairs,
- 6 I find my mom on her knees praying for each
- 7 one of her children. I'm baffled and hurt
- 8 that this elected body would disenfranchise
- 9 people of this state for at least the next
- 10 10 to twenty years. 10 years, the tables
- 11 could be turned. I've seen people up here
- 12 who have voted on bills, that have come back
- and apologized, said "I was on the wrong
- 14 side." And I believe -- whole heartedly
- 15 believe, that some of you will be saying
- 16 that in the very near future.
- 17 Mr. Speaker, I'll take any questions if
- 18 there are any, but I want to thank you all
- 19 for listening to me.
- 20 SPEAKER LUCAS: Ms. Matthews, you have
- 21 a question? You're recognized.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE MATTHEWS: Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Chair. Representative King, did you
- 24 know that when I heard what happened to you,
- 25 I was utterly disturbed? And I guess, the

Page 103 1 first thing that came to my mind is the 2. question that I will ask you, is when that happened did any one of the Republicans in 3 the room stand up and say, "this isn't 5 right, we need to do what is supposed to be done?" 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Representative 8 Matthews, no one. No one. Rep-- no one 9 said anything. 10 SPEAKER LUCAS: Gilliard, did you have a question, sir? Mr. Gilliard is 11 12 recognized. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GILLIARD: Thank you, 14 Mr. Speaker. Thank you. Is this on? 15 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Uh-uh, but I hear 16 you. 17 REPRESENTATIVE GILLIARD: Well, I want 18 everybody to hear me. One, two, thank you. 19 Mr. Speaker, I think of -- point of -- my 20 question is to you and the Clerk, Mr. 21 Speaker. Point of information, I have a 22 question?

23

24

SPEAKER LUCAS: Yes sir, Mr. Gilliard?

REPRESENTATIVE GILLIARD: Let's say

Page 104 1 stated, that the parliament -- parliamentary procedure was circumvented, is what I wanted to say. Let's say that did happen. What's the rules of the House for that committee that when you look at S. 865, this Amendment 6 here, is this legit? If that by virtue of what happened, as he stated in that committee meeting? Because he was 9 overlooked, his responsibilities. So, the procedure was broken at that point. 10 anything that came out of that committee, 11 during the -- that day, that particular day, 12 13 like Representative King stated, is this, S. 865 Amendment legit? Should we be 14 15 entertaining this? That's my question. 16 SPEAKER LUCAS: Okay. You raising that question as a point, Mr. Gilliard? 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE GILLIARD: Yes, sir. 19 SPEAKER LUCAS: Okay, well here's my 20 understanding of the rule, and how it applies. We have committees, and we have 21 22 the House floor, and we have rules for committees, and we have rules for the House 23 24 floor. Mr. King, in his argument, is

referencing a rule, I believe, that refers

25

Page 105 1 to the first Vice Chair. There are a couple of rules that deal with the issue of who presides in a committee meeting, and how that can be monitored. Needless to say, a 5 committee enforces its own procedural 6 committee --REPRESENTATIVE GILLIARD: Right. SPEAKER LUCAS: -- rules. So, it's up 8 9 to that committee to deal with a procedural violation, if it is raised in that 10 committee. Once it is dealt with, and it's 11 a procedural violation, then I can't deal 12 13 with it on the floor. So, if the argument 14 is that a procedural rule is broken in the 15 Judiciary Committee, can the Speaker of the 16 House somehow go down to the committee level 17 and rectify that? I cannot. So, that would 18 -- that motion would not be appropriate for 19 the floor, Mr. Gilliard. 20 REPRESENTATIVE GILLIARD: Okay. 21 SPEAKER LUCAS: Do you have a question 22 of me, Dr. Thigpen? Of Doc-- Mr. King? 23 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Yes, sir. 24 SPEAKER LUCAS: Dr. Thigpen? 25 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Thank you, Mr.

Page 106 1 Speaker, for not only your explanation of the rules, both of the Committee and the House, but also for recognizing me for this 3 question for Representative King. 5 Representative King, I too, do you 6 know, was concerned about what took place in 7 Judiciary as I was there. Do you know that I thought that you handled it well, with 9 great decency and civility, as I would 10 expect of you? Did you know that beyond your personal concern, as it relates to not 11 12 only you, but this entire body, and what we 13 do moving forward, not even this particular 14 bill, rules, procedures, and our policies 15 that govern us -- our adhering to them, is 16 vitally important? 17 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Most definitely. 18 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Would you --19 REPRESENTATIVE KING: And I -- let me -20 - let me say this to you, my discussion of 21 the rule violation that happened on Monday 22 is not about me. I want to be very clear. It's about this institution that we all say 23 24 we love. And if we have rules that are in 25 place regardless of who the person is, we

Page 107 1 have an obligation to follow the rules. REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Would you agree with me in saying that we all seek to 3 operate in good faith and not bad faith? 5 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Most definitely. REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: That our 7 desire to come here is for the betterment 8 of, not only our state, but this body? 9 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Yes, sir. 10 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Would you 11 agree that rules not only protect the 12 person, but it even protects us from 13 ourselves, as well as protects the integrity 14 of the institution. Would you agree with 15 that? 16 REPRESENTATIVE KING: My point exactly. So, I agree with you, and that's what I've 17 been trying to say in reference to ensuring 18 19 that we follow the rules, because of the 20 integrity of the institution. 21 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Would you 22 agree that rules serve the wheel of the 23 majority, but also seek to protect the 24 minority? 25 That is what it's REPRESENTATIVE KING:

Page 108 1 supposed to do. REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: In what took place, and what we see happening now, my 3 concern, did you know, is that as we 5 decrease in number as the minority party, 6 that heavy becomes the mantle on the majority party, to adhering to rules and regulation. Not for the sake of me, or you, 9 or this legislative body, but particularly 10 for precedent that we set. Did you know 11 that? 12 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Yes. 13 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: And as much as 14 I believe, and want to believe, and have 15 good faith in the intent of individuals 16 beyond the intentions, because good 17 intentions pave a great pathway to hell. 18 must be the rules and the regulations, and 19 the policies and procedures that guide us, 20 so that at the end of the day, regardless of 21 whether we are Democrat or Republican, or 22 what position we hold, on any committee, it 23 is what allows us to rise above those 24 things, and do what is not only most 25 efficient, but what is in the best interest

Page 109 1 of the wheel of the entire body. Did you know that? REPRESENTATIVE KING: Yes. Let me sav this to you, Dr. Thigpen. I still don't 5 understand why the rule was not followed. 6 As a member of the Judiciary Committee, if I'm the chair, I have to still follow the rules, I have to conduct the meeting in 9 order. And so, what bothers me, is if you -- and I've stated this before, is the entire 10 11 process, if you look at it from my vantage 12 point, the entire process was to circumvent 13 any involvement of myself. Because if you 14 go back and look at how we have done 15 redistricting in the past, it was done 16 through election laws, in which I sat on. 17 Okay? Secondarily, we had one of my members from my Congressional District, when -- in 18 19 which I respect and know he would have done 20 an amazing job, could not serve, for 21 whatever particular reason. Yet, they left 22 that seat vacant, after I've called and 23 inquired about being on redistricting and 24 reapportionment. Okay? So, let's put it out

Then I get to a meeting on Monday

Page 110 1 where I'm supposed to serve as the chair, because the chair was not there. A letter from the Chair comes in, that says he appoints another one of my colleagues. Okay? According to any rules, I would 6 assume, to establish the rules, or to change the rule, I think it takes two-thirds vote, which did not happen in committee, which you sat in on. A letter was written. So, what I'm -- as you have stated, we have now set a 10 standard, because it was allowed. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Well, did you 13 know that I too share in your alarm, I too 14 share in your concern. Did you know that I 15 want to thank you for taking the time to 16 come and address this? I also wanted to say that I do understand that there are persons 17 18 who have different interpretations of rules. 19 Do you know that I also understand that 20 there were other remedies that were 21 available to the Committee to act, i.e. 22 example that you just gave, that tit could

25 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: And --

REPRESENTATIVE KING:

23

24

have been brought to a two-thirds vote.

Representative --

Page 111 1 REPRESENTATIVE KING: -- Representative 3 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: -- did you know that they quite possibly could have 5 even had the two-thirds vote there. 6 REPRESENTATIVE KING: Representative 7 Thigpen, let me say this to you, I'm not going to give people an out on 8 9 interpretation. Interpretation says, 10 "shall," S-H-A-L-L, okay? So, there is no other interpretation but shall serve, okay? 11 So, if we are having people that can't 12 13 interpret, or understand the definition or 14 the meaning of shall, then we're in trouble 15 with this state. 16 REPRESENTATIVE THIGPEN: Well, I'll go 17 to my seat on this final question. Did you 18 know that what concerns me most is that when 19 presented, even though it was noted your 20 objection, we did not take an action, even 21 an action as to vote as to how the majority 22 at that point felt? And I do believe, did 23 you know, that the responsibility of 24 upholding the fairness, the good faith of 25 this body, is increasingly more and more on

Page 112 1 the majority party as they gain more seats, 2. did you know that? REPRESENTATIVE KING: Well, you mention about gaining more seats, that was a part of 5 the cracking and packing in what we were 6 doing and what's happening up here. So, we 7 have issues. I will publicly state and put in the record that I believe what we are 9 doing today should not even happen, based on, we did not follow the rules of the 10 Committee. Which means you voted out a bill 11 12 where a fully constitutional -- or 13 constituted meeting didn't happen, because the rules were not followed. And for those 14 15 attorneys that are waiting to sue South 16 Carolina for these maps, go pick up the rules for the House Judiciary Committee, and 17 show that South Carolina didn't even follow 18 19 its own rules to conduct a meeting to even 20 discuss the congressional maps. So, as I 21 take my seat, I hope that the ones of you who are in the chamber, who took your 22 23 responsibility, what your constituents sent 24 you down here for, I appreciate you standing 25 here and listening. Because we don't always

- 1 agree or like what the other person has to
- 2 say, but you stayed in here. And for those
- 3 Republican and Democrats that didn't? I hope
- 4 their constituents are looking at them.
- 5 This is the most important piece of
- 6 legislation that are doing, and members are
- 7 not even in this room. Regardless of you're
- 8 listening or not, people are watching you,
- 9 they see that you're in your seat. And
- isn't that much talking in lobby, so you
- 11 can't go home and tell your constituents,
- 12 "Well, I was outside talking to a
- 13 constituent online," you've been gone all
- 14 day. So, I appreciate the ones of you that
- 15 have stayed in here, and you have listened
- 16 to my concern with the map, my concern with
- 17 the process. I appreciate you and I thank
- 18 you.
- 19 And Mr. Speaker, as we move forward,
- 20 and as we work together to try to make South
- 21 Carolina better, I hope that you will
- 22 encourage each one of us, regardless about
- 23 how we may feel about someone, to follow the
- 24 rules. Follow the rules that we have put in
- 25 place to conduct our meetings. Mr. Speaker,

Page 114 1 it starts with the head. And I am asking 2. you, as my speaker, who I voted for, to serve me and my colleagues, to ensure, so 3 that we can all work together to ensure that 5 the rules are followed, and that they are 6 fair, and interpreted not for your benefit, 7 for the benefit of the citizens of South Carolina. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 8 9 SPEAKER LUCAS: Thank you, Mr. King. Ms. Brawley is recognized to speak on the 10 Amendment. Members, the pending question, -11 12 13 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: 14 (Inaudible). 15 SPEAKER LUCAS: Ms. Cobb-Hunter? Ms. 16 Cobb-Hunter is recognized to be heard on Amendment 1. Ms. Cobb-Hunter. 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you 19 so much, Mr. Speaker and members. I'm not 20 going to be here long, I just wanted to come 21 up and make sure that there was clarity on 22 the point and the questions that I raised 23 for Chairman Jordan regarding Section 2, and 24 whether an analysis had been done on the

Congressional District maps per Section 2 of

Page 115 1 the Voting Rights Act. Let me back up and 2. remind you, for some of you who are not -this is all new to you, there is something 3 called the Voting Rights Act that is being 5 fought in the Congress right now, which has 6 been around for decades, which has been reauthorized for decades by Republican 7 Administrations, and Republican Presidents 8 9 signed it into law. We are at a point in 10 our history now where voter participation seems to be of interest depending on who is 11 12 participating. What I am concerned about, 13 Mr. Speaker, in addition to all of the 14 points that have been raised by my 15 colleagues about the process, is the voter -16 - the adherence to the voting rights act. 17 For those of you in here who are attorneys, 18 you may recall that there is something 19 called the 1965 voting rights act, which 20 protects the rights, mainly, of voters of color, of handicap or disabled voters, and 21 22 people who have problems accessing the polls. We used to have, prior to 2013, 23 24 before Chief Justice Roberts decided in his infinite wisdom, along with the majority of 25

- 1 accord at that time, to remove section 5
- 2 from the Voting Rights Act. Section 5
- 3 required preclearance among other things.
- 4 And all that simply said is that before a
- 5 state could sign off or pass a vote -- a
- 6 redistricting plan, that it had to be
- 7 precleared by the department of justice.
- 8 When that was eliminated in 2013, that
- 9 pretty much, for all practical purposes
- 10 gutted the Voting Rights Act. But what it
- 11 did leave was Section 2. And when we talk
- 12 about tools in our tool kit, what it did
- 13 leave was one little lynchpin what people
- 14 who are concerned about access to voting
- 15 could hang their hat on. When I raised the
- 16 question with Mr. Jordan, about whether an
- 17 analysis of Section 2 had been done, and he
- is a very skilled attorney, but I never
- 19 quite got a yes or no answer from him. And
- 20 so, from my perspective, if it's pretty
- 21 clear-cut, I think it's very easy to say,
- "yes," or "no." The fact that I got a
- 23 lawyer's response, to me, suggests that
- 24 perhaps a Section 2 analysis had not been
- 25 done. Let me tell you why that is important

Page 117 1 for purposes of this conversation. If there is not a Section 2 analysis that has been done, what that allows is for districts to 3 be cracked and packed. Mr. Speaker, could I 5 get a little order back in here? I know that 6 people aren't interested in what I have to say, but I'm not going to be very long. SPEAKER LUCAS: All right. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: But when 10 I'm distracted by sounds it makes me talk 11 longer. 12 SPEAKER LUCAS: House -- House will be 13 in order. You're doing a good job, Ms. Cobb-Hunter. They're doing a pretty good 14 15 job, but I'll see if I can get everybody to 16 keep their seats, keep their conversations outside and not in the chamber. Ms. Cobb-17 18 Hunter. 19 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Thank you 20 so much, Mr. Speaker. You know, Mr. 21 Speaker, next session, we need to think 22 about what we can do about that little back 23 wall back there. I don't know if it's a 24 magnet or something, but it seems to attract

people in conversations. It's empty now,

1 but it's really distracting when you're up

- 2 here trying to make your point and you hear
- 3 a bunch of stuff. But thank you, Mr.
- 4 Speaker for getting order for me. Here's
- 5 the point I'm trying to make to you, when
- 6 Representative Matthews, following up on our
- 7 question to the chair, gave you the specific
- 8 areas, and the precincts, and the census
- 9 blocks in Charleston that had been shifted,
- 10 Mr. Speaker and members, it is clear that
- 11 whether we want to own it or not, there was
- 12 serious cracking and packing done in the 6th
- 13 Congressional District and the 1st
- 14 Congressional District. Without a Section 2
- 15 analysis, that gives the Committee and
- 16 thereby this body the authority to sign off
- 17 on that. Mr. King talked about process, a
- 18 committee process, what I would like you to
- 19 think about for future reference, is a
- 20 process as a whole. You may recall that
- 21 there is legislation right now in the
- 22 Judiciary Committee which would change the
- 23 way that we draw lines, and this entire
- 24 process. Whether we want to do it or not,
- 25 we, meaning those of us who were blessed and

Page 119 highly favored enough to be in these chairs 1 and across the hall. Whether we want to or not, a large portion of the public here in South Carolina is interested in not having politicians pick voters, but having voters 6 pick the politicians. And so, I offer that to you in the for what it's worth department, because Mr. Speaker, one of the 9 things that is most troubling about this 10 Amendment, and one of the main reasons that it will be voting against this Amendment, is 11 because it eliminates, in my view, the 12 13 competitiveness of Congressional District 1. 14 What we've done, and Ms. Matthews was clear 15 in her annunciation of those precincts and 16 those census blocks that were in that -that have been moved around. 17 What we did, 18 in effect, was as move all the black people 19 out of Congressional District 1 that are in 20 Charleston County, and pack them into the 6th Congressional District. Because we 21 22 didn't do a Section 2 analysis, that allows 23 that to be done. What we've done, by saying 24 that we're going to, on one hand, keep 25 communities of interest together, goes back

- 1 to the point that Representative Kambrell
- 2 was making. It is unclear to me how we can
- 3 see commonality between Richland Northeast
- 4 and Charleston County. That's quite a
- 5 stretch and quite a distance. And I think
- 6 Mr. Kambrell, very eloquently, made that
- 7 point. And I would remind you all that we
- 8 need. To not just talk the talk, but walk
- 9 the walk, if we are serious about keeping
- 10 communities of interest together. And Mr.
- 11 Speaker, at the risk of blasphemy, let me
- 12 say that the Senate has shown us the way.
- 13 The Senate has today, as I understand it,
- 14 released a map that has Charleston County
- 15 and Beaufort County whole, and in one
- 16 district. So, this business about we
- 17 couldn't do it shouldn't be we couldn't do
- 18 it, we chose not to do it. And there is a
- 19 big difference. And so, Mr. Speaker, I
- 20 appreciate the patience that the members
- 21 have shown for the most part, except some
- 22 ppl who are just rude by nature and can't do
- 23 any better. But I really want us to
- 24 understand the gravity of what we are about
- 25 to do. And I would encourage those of you

Page 121 1 who believe that we ought to have a 2. redistricting process that requires us to speak to voters about issues that are important to us. We need to have a 5 redistricting process that makes these seats 6 more competitive, and that's on both sides of the isle. Because I'm a firm believer, Mr. Speaker, that the seats belong to the 8 9 people not to individuals. And I know that 10 there are some of us on both sides of the isle who get it twisted. Some of us think 11 12 of these seats as our seats, and they're 13 The seats belong to the people, the 14 people have a right to make a change at 15 whatever point they choose to do so. So, I 16 just wanted, as I pointed out, Mr. Speaker, to stop by and say from this podium what was 17 18 attempting to say from my seat, and that is 19 without a Section 2 analysis, which I don't 20 think was done on this plan, that opened the door for the Committee, whether intentional 21 22 or not, to crack and pack people of color 23 into a district that's already designated as 24 a district held by a person of color. 25 why do we need to add more people of color

Page 122 1 to that district? What we in effect did, in 2 my opinion in this map, is to remove enough voters of color from the 1st Congressional 3 District, and thereby affecting voters of 5 color in the 1st Congressional District to 6 be able to support a candidate of their choice, or influence I should say, a candidate who may be of a different 8 9 political party. And so, with those of you 10 who are here, and this is your first bite at the apple, as far as redistricting is 11 12 concerned, I would encourage you, especially 13 those of you who are Democrats, who are more 14 likely Mr. Kambrell, to be here in ten 15 years, let this be a teachable moment for 16 you. Let this be an opportunity to learn what not to do. An di would encourage 17 Democrats to look within its own caucus, to 18 look in the mirror, and before we start 19 20 (inaudible) pointing fingers at the 21 Republicans and what they did or didn't do 22 in this plan, we need to understand that a person can't ride your back unless it's 23 24 And if we don't have a strategy, if 25 we don't have the kind of leadership that

- 1 will bring us together, and arrive at a
- 2 common goal, than this is what's going to
- 3 happen. My final comments, again, is a
- 4 message that hopefully will be resonant with
- 5 you in eight years when we start doing this.
- 6 You ought to be now, and I'm talking
- 7 strictly to Democrats, here's how you
- 8 prevent all of this from becoming the major
- 9 issue that it is now, in my opinion. You
- 10 stop whining and complaining, and then get
- 11 off your butts and do some work. And what
- 12 do I mean by that? We know that the
- 13 redistricting is based on the census count.
- 14 We know that the previous administration did
- 15 everything they could to make sure that
- 16 people were not counted. We know that .And
- 17 what I've said to anybody who will listen,
- 18 we know what the problem is, we've seen it,
- 19 we experienced it. We need to learn from
- 20 this, Ms. McDaniel. And those of us who
- 21 call ourselves Democrats, instead of sitting
- 22 around, whining about what we think we've
- lost, we need to be out here mobilizing with
- 24 community-based groups, getting the word
- 25 out, educating our communities about the

- 1 importance, Mr. Clyburn, of the census, and
- 2 responding to the census. Anything else is
- 3 a waste of time, a waste of energy, and a
- 4 waste of effort. And so, I leave you with
- 5 this challenge, those of you who will be
- 6 here in 2020, and Mr. Speaker, I'm looking
- 7 at these young Democrats who are in here,
- 8 Ms. Wetmore, and Ms. Johnson, and Mr.
- 9 Kambrell, and all of these good people. Ms.
- 10 (Inaudible) and Mr. Gilliard, you'll be here
- 11 too. You aren't as young as them, but I
- just got faith that you're going to be here.
- 13 And so, I'm hoping you will lead the charge,
- 14 Mr. Gilliard, and remind these young people
- of how important leadership is in everything
- 16 we do. Leadership matter, the lack of
- 17 leadership matters even more. And so, as I
- 18 take my seat, Mr. Speaker, thank you for
- 19 allowing me to come up, and to have my say.
- 20 I appreciate it, but I wanted to make sure
- 21 that I had an opportunity to explain to you
- 22 why I will be voting no on this Amendment.
- 23 Thank you so much, Mr. Speaker. I don't
- 24 think there are any questions, but I would
- 25 be more than happy to respond if there are.

- 1 I think everybody's probably ready to go.
- 2 Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
- 3 SPEAKER LUCAS: Thank you, Ms. Cobb-
- 4 Hunter. All right, members, pending
- 5 question is the adoption of Amendment 1.
- 6 All those in favor, --
- 7 REPRESENTATIVE COBB-HUNTER: Roll call.
- 8 SPEAKER LUCAS: -- Ms. Cobb-Hunter
- 9 requests a roll call, do nine members second
- 10 her request? Nine do, a roll call is
- 11 required and ordered. We'll vote on the
- 12 board. Again, the pending question is the
- 13 adoption of Amendment 1.
- 14 SPEAKER LUCAS: Time has expired.
- 15 Polls will close, clerk will tabulate, by a
- vote of 73 to 35, Amendment 1 is adopted.
- 17 There being no further amendments on the
- 18 desk, Mr. -- Mr. Govan, for what purpose to
- 19 you rise? The pending question is passage of
- 20 Senate Bill 865 as amended. Mr. Govan has
- 21 asked to be hard on the Bill and is
- 22 recognized. House will be in order. Let's
- 23 give Mr. Govan your attention.
- 24 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: Thank you, Mr.
- 25 Speaker. Members of the House, I'm not

Page 126 1 going to be very long, I think you have -in fact, I'm not going to be long at all. think you've heard from my colleagues, in 3 terms of various arguments, in terms of with 5 the regards and the concerns about this 6 particular plan. But I would be remiss if I 7 not -- if I did not stand here and share with you the ramifications in terms of what 8 9 we're about to do in terms of passing this 10 Amendment in lieu of what was said. And I see now that we have more members who have 11 12 come in, who missed some very eloquent 13 points that were made by my colleagues. 14 SPEAKER LUCAS: Mr. Govan? Let me get 15 you to suspend a minute. House will come to 16 order. Mr. Govan, we're not going to start back until we have order. 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE GOVAN: Thank you, Mr. 19 Speaker. And I think it's important -- I 20 think it's important for this body to really 21 understand why the concern was brought up 22 the way it was brought up. And why what we 23 are doing is important, because we are at a

critical point in the history, not of --

only of this state and nation, and which

24

Page 127 1 individuals are becoming increasingly, 2. instead of coming together in good will and making good public policy, we seem to be 3 getting caught up int eh weeds. And instead 5 of looking out for the people, we seem to be 6 caught up into the weeds of partisanship and 7 division. And just knowing some of the people that I have -- I've had the pleasure 8 9 of meeting since being in this body, I know 10 all of you all don't feel that way, but we are all kind of pushed one way or another in 11 12 terms of taking some of the positions that 13 we've had. But let me just very quickly say 14 this, and -- two points and I'll take my 15 seat, because I don't know how many more 16 opportunities, I'll have an opportunity to 17 stand at this podium. 18 But first and foremost, I want to speak 19 to the issue of my rep-- my good friend and 20 colleague, Representative King, who serves 21 on the House Judiciary Committee, who spoke 22 so eloquently about the rules. I'll never forget, a good friend and former speaker of 23

this body, who I served under when he first

-- when I first arrived in this body in '92

24

Page 128 1 -- I started serving in '93, at the 2. beginning of the session -- by the Bob Sheheen, and he talked -- spoke so 3 eloquently, talking about the House of 5 Representatives and what it meant, and the 6 process. Never forgot that. And for those who have never been through a reapportionment, who have been here 10 years 9 or less, or maybe this is just your first --10 those who have been here and this is for first, this body is only as good as the 11 12 respect that we give one another, and show 13 one another. 14 And there's a reason why we have a 15 Rules Committee. There's a reason why this 16 House has rules that govern its actions, and 17 the decisions. There's a reason why if in 18 case many of you haven't noticed it, that 19 when a speaker just doesn't come up with a 20 ruling off the top of his head, and he turns 21 to the Clerk of the House, and there is a --22 an -- a document in the back there of all of 23 the rulings by the Speaker of the House that 24 have been made in this body that they're 25 referred to, so that we can have consistency

- 1 in which the rules are applied. I don't
- 2 know if many of you all know that, but there
- 3 is a document back there that does that.
- 4 And we are blessed to have a clerk of the
- 5 House, who has been here long enough, that
- 6 is thoroughly familiar with most of that
- 7 back there, unless he has to refer to a
- 8 specific ruling by a previous speaker. That
- 9 allows consistency, and that allows this
- 10 body to operate in such a way that it should
- 11 be consistent in terms of the rules. And
- 12 so, it is the same way in terms of the
- 13 committees. And so, I don't want us to miss
- 14 out on this moment of understanding what
- 15 Representative King has shared with you.
- 16 And this is no disrespect to the current
- 17 chair, or anybody else in this body. But if
- 18 this body is not going to operate by the
- 19 rules that we set for ourselves, then what
- 20 is the use of adopting rules when we come
- 21 here at the beginning of the session, Ms.
- 22 Brawley? What is the ready for it then, if
- 23 we're not going to follow the rules?
- The second thing is this, and I'll move
- on from my colleague, Representative King.

Page 130 1 I know what it's -- I know what it means to feel disrespected in this body at the committee level. And for Mr. King to serve as first Vice Chair of the Committee, and all of a sudden, for some reason, having 6 been elected to that position but not been afforded to run a meeting, I can understand how that feels. If that's the case, then why have elected individuals in those 9 positions of any standing committee in this 10 body, or any other committee? Why have a 11 first Vice Chairman, or second Vice 12 13 Chairman? Why have these particular 14 individuals that are elected by you, members 15 of this body, if we're not going to afford 16 them the respect that we've elected them to 17 serve to allow them to serve. And so, for 18 those of you who heard what he said, I --19 and I'm hoping that you weren't in the back 20 and not paying attention -- that means every 21 person sitting in a committee, regardless of 22 whether they're a Democrat or Republican, 23 regardless of if they are black or white, 24 regardless of whether they are male or 25 female, the bottom line is all of us want to

Page 131 1 feel respected, Mr. Williams. And you can't 2. cherry pick, you've got to be consistent. And if you don't feel an individual can 3 handle that responsibility, why elect them 5 in the first place? 6 Now, I want to mention this because 7 we're on camera, and we have people all over this state who look upon us to set the tone 9 and the example. You have students, you 10 have parents, and you have other constituencies out there watching us, as 11 12 we're supposed to represent a body that is 13 supposed to lead. And if we can't lead by 14 example, then how can we truly call 15 ourselves leaders? And as someone once 16 said, "that's all I want to say about that. 17 My second and final point is this, there are a few of us who consider ourselves 18 19 historians in this body, been around a 20 little while. But this whole debate and 21 argument about this plan, the passage of 22 this bill, let me tell you, over the past 50 23 years -- and these are facts, and I 24 challenge anybody if they want to question

it, to research it. The fact of the matter

Page 132 1 is this, for the past 50 years, in the state of South Carolina, the redistricting process has required court intervention and prolonged litigation. Let me say that again, because I want you to understand 6 this. For the past 50 years, the way we 7 have handled this process has ended up in court, because we didn't do it the right 9 way. And at some point, ladies and gentlemen, this has got to stop. And there 10 are some of us who believe so much in this 11 12 body, even though there are many times we 13 end up on the short end of the stick. 14 are some of us who still believe that this 15 process matters, that this body matters, and 16 that we have sacrificed in terms of time and 17 effort to come up here, regardless of 18 whether we win or lose on a vote, that this 19 service in this body -- that it's a 20 privilege, still matters. That democracy works and this all still matters. 21 22 Let me give you an example, and let's 23 just go back quickly. In the year -- in the 24 2010 election cycle, did you know that it

took nearly four months to adjudicate the

- 1 redistricting plans in Backus v. South
- 2 Carolina? We ended up in court. Filed --
- 3 the lawsuit was filed in November, the court
- 4 held hearings on various motions to dismiss
- 5 it for a couple of months, and then held the
- 6 trial. That was just in 2000-- that's going
- 7 through the 2010 cycle. Well, what about 10
- 8 years prior to that?
- 9 Let's go back to 2000. South Carolina
- 10 House Judiciary Committee received the
- 11 census data, went through the process, and
- 12 after expedited discovery -- some of you all
- 13 remember that, I think some of you all had
- 14 just gotten here. We had a trial, the trial
- 15 ran for almost two months, and in March, the
- 16 court ended up issuing a remedial
- 17 redistricting plan to ensure that no further
- 18 elections were conducted under invalid
- 19 plans. That's what happened in 2010 -- I
- 20 mean, 2000.
- 1990, back when I was elected, in '92,
- 22 '93. Well, in '90, the census was put out
- 23 there, and we went through that process. It
- 24 was released in '91. However, much like
- 25 today, the legislature dropped the ball, and

```
1 so, without passing maps or a plan, or
```

- 2 creating a special session to do so, we dot
- 3 sued, the state got sued, and it ended up
- 4 that it wasn't until 1992, they moved the
- 5 date of the primary, they knew -- moved all
- 6 that. That's when I got elected,
- 7 Representative Clyburn. I'll never forget,
- 8 they drew the -- one map drew me in one
- 9 district, the other map, you know, drew the
- 10 line right by a railroad track, and we ran
- 11 for the House, and we've been here ever
- 12 since.
- 13 What about 1980? 1980, I'll never
- 14 forget, I was working across the hall there,
- as a page in the Senate, and then in Senate
- 16 research. And we found a three-judge panel,
- 17 had to basically intervene and draw a plan
- 18 because of a lawsuit that was a filed at
- 19 that time by the NAACP. 1984, gave us, for
- 20 the first time in the history of this state,
- 21 since reconstruction, African Americans to
- 22 serve in the State Senate. And I'll never
- 23 forget the proud moment and day, that I.
- 24 DeQuincey Newman in 1984 became the first
- 25 African American to serve. And it was

Page 135 1 especially significant for me, be there were only two individual who worked in the Gressette building that were African 3 American, that were not pages, and I'm proud to have been one of them. And then, if you 6 go back to 1970. Of course, we all know that in the 70s, with the litigation that was filed, they gave African Americans a 8 9 presence in this body for the first time 10 since reconstruction. So, the point is this, you know, 11 there's an old saying, and you all have 12 13 heard that staying it -- saying, I think 14 Albert Einstein was the one that came up 15 with it, if you keep on doing the same thing 16 over again, you're going to get, basically, 17 the same results. I got to believe, because my time in this body is probably shorter 18 19 than it is longer. But if someone who 20 sacrifices -- who have committed his life to 21 serving this state, I got to believe that 22 we're going to work to -- we should be 23 working together to make this state as one -24 - one of my colleagues said, "for a better place for all of us." I got to believe that 25

Page 136 the people that are coming out here, coming

- 2 up here, being sent up here are truly
- 3 committed to service all the people of South
- 4 Carolina, and that instead of regressing,
- 5 what we're committed to progressing. And
- 6 so, I don't know if that will change a whole
- 7 lot of minds, it probably won't, but I
- 8 wanted to get it off my chest, because we
- 9 can do better. If not us, then who? And if
- 10 not now, because it has to begin, when?
- 11 When? It's not about us, it's about the
- 12 people who have given us the honor and
- 13 privilege of serving. We should never
- 14 forget, not only where we come from, but
- 15 those whose shoulders we stand upon. Thank
- 16 you, Mr. Speaker.
- 17 SPEAKER LUCAS: Thank you, Mr. Govan.
- 18 Pending question, second reading of Senate
- 19 Bill 865, as amended by this body. Roll
- 20 call is required and ordered; we'll vote on
- 21 the board.

- 22 SPEAKER LUCAS: Ladies and gentlemen,
- 23 if the House will come to order, to my left
- 24 at the back of the chamber, under Thomas
- 25 Jefferson, I believe, is former member Mandy

Page 137 1 Powers Norrell, let's welcome her back. 2. SPEAKER LUCAS: Polls will close, Clerk will tabulate. By a vote of 74 to 35, 3 Senate Bill 865 is amended by the body, 5 receives second reading. All right, 6 members, House will come to order. If 7 you'll take your seat, we're about to get out of here in just a moment. Please, 9 Sergeant, if you could assist me to get 10 members in their seat? Members, we obviously -- we have some 11 12 very, very sad news about one of our 13 members, as you all noticed today, 14 Representative Robinson-Simpson --15 Representative Robinson, excuse me, was not 16 here today. She has been out in California 17 with her son, Basheer, who has been battling cancer. And she is back in Greenville now, 18 19 but I'm sorry to report that Basheer lost 20 his life. I can tell you that on the sad 21 days here are the days we lose members, 22 which has happened. I can remember at least 23 three occasions, where a member has lost a 24 child, and I don't think I can think of 25 anything sadder than that. So, what I would

Page 138 ask you to do is to stand in memory of 1 Basheer Robinson, and let's silently go to 2. the Lord in prayer for Leola and her family. 3 Thank you all so much. Thank you to reach out and touch Leola sometime over the 5 6 weekend and let her know we love her, and we 7 miss her. How sorry we are for her loss. All right, members, I'm going to 8 9 entertain a motion tomorrow, remember we 10 came in at two today, we come in at 10 on Thursdays -- continue to do that. So, that 11 12 being said, Mr. Taylor has moved that the 13 House adjourn to meet at 10 a.m. tomorrow. All in favor say, "aye." 14 15 ALL: Aye. 16 SPEAKER LUCAS: Opposed, no? The aye's have it. 17 18 (End of recording.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE	Page 139
2		
3		
4		
5	I, Alexandria Brobst, Transcriptionist,	
6	do hereby certify that I was authorized to	
7	and did listen to and transcribe the	
8	foregoing recorded proceedings and that the	
9	transcript is a true record to the best of	
10	my professional ability.	
11		
12	Dated this 19th day of January, 2022.	
13		
14	00 1 2 1	
15	alexandria Brobat	
16		
17	Alexandria Brobst	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		